Hörmander's  $\bar{\partial}$ -estimate, Some Generalizations, and New Applications

> Zbigniew Błocki (Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Kraków, Poland)

http://gamma.im.uj.edu.pl/~blocki

Abel Symposium in honor of Professor Yum-Tong Siu Trondheim, July 4, 2013 We will discuss applications of Hörmander's  $L^2\text{-estimate}$  for  $\bar\partial$  in the following problems:

- 1. Suita Conjecture (1972) from potential theory
- 2. Optimal constant in the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem (1987)
- 3. Mahler Conjecture (1938) from convex analysis

Green function for bounded domain D in  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta G_D(\cdot, z) = 2\pi \delta_z \\ G_D(\cdot, z) = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \text{ (if } D \text{ is regular)} \end{cases}$$

Green function for bounded domain D in  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta G_D(\cdot, z) = 2\pi \delta_z \\ G_D(\cdot, z) = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \text{ (if } D \text{ is regular)} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} c_D(z) &:= \exp \lim_{\zeta \to z} \left( G_D(\zeta,z) - \log |\zeta - z| \right) \\ & \quad \text{(logarithmic capacity of } \mathbb{C} \setminus D \text{ w.r.t. } z \text{)} \end{split}$$

Green function for bounded domain D in  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta G_D(\cdot, z) = 2\pi \delta_z \\ G_D(\cdot, z) = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \text{ (if } D \text{ is regular)} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} c_D(z) &:= \exp \lim_{\zeta \to z} \left( G_D(\zeta, z) - \log |\zeta - z| \right) \\ & \text{(logarithmic capacity of } \mathbb{C} \setminus D \text{ w.r.t. } z \text{)} \end{split}$$

 $c_D |dz|$  is an invariant metric (Suita metric)

Green function for bounded domain D in  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta G_D(\cdot, z) = 2\pi \delta_z \\ G_D(\cdot, z) = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \text{ (if } D \text{ is regular)} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} c_D(z) &:= \exp \lim_{\zeta \to z} \left( G_D(\zeta, z) - \log |\zeta - z| \right) \\ & \text{(logarithmic capacity of } \mathbb{C} \setminus D \text{ w.r.t. } z \text{)} \end{split}$$

 $c_D |dz|$  is an invariant metric (Suita metric)

$$Curv_{c_D|dz|} = -\frac{(\log c_D)_{z\bar{z}}}{c_D^2}$$

Green function for bounded domain D in  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta G_D(\cdot, z) = 2\pi \delta_z \\ G_D(\cdot, z) = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \text{ (if } D \text{ is regular)} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} c_D(z) &:= \exp \lim_{\zeta \to z} \left( G_D(\zeta, z) - \log |\zeta - z| \right) \\ & \text{(logarithmic capacity of } \mathbb{C} \setminus D \text{ w.r.t. } z \text{)} \end{split}$$

 $c_D |dz|$  is an invariant metric (Suita metric)

$$Curv_{c_D|dz|} = -\frac{(\log c_D)_{z\bar{z}}}{c_D^2}$$

Suita Conjecture (1972):  $Curv_{c_D|dz|} \leq -1$ 

Green function for bounded domain D in  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta G_D(\cdot, z) = 2\pi \delta_z \\ G_D(\cdot, z) = 0 \text{ on } \partial D \text{ (if } D \text{ is regular)} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} c_D(z) &:= \exp \lim_{\zeta \to z} \left( G_D(\zeta, z) - \log |\zeta - z| \right) \\ & \text{(logarithmic capacity of } \mathbb{C} \setminus D \text{ w.r.t. } z \text{)} \end{split}$$

 $c_D |dz|$  is an invariant metric (Suita metric)

$$Curv_{c_D|dz|} = -\frac{(\log c_D)_{z\bar{z}}}{c_D^2}$$

Suita Conjecture (1972):  $Curv_{c_D|dz|} \leq -1$ 

- "=" if D is simply connected
- "<" if D is an annulus (Suita)
- $\bullet$  Enough to prove for D with smooth boundary
- "=" on  $\partial D$  if D has smooth boundary



 $Curv_{c_D \left | dz \right |}$  for  $D = \{e^{-5} < |z| < 1\}$  as a function of  $t = -2 \log |z|$ 



 $Curv_{K_D|dz|^2}$  for  $D=\{e^{-10}<|z|<1\}$  as a function of  $t=-2\log|z|$ 



 $Curv_{(\log K_D)z\bar{z}\,|dz|^2}$  for  $D=\{e^{-5}<|z|<1\}$  as a function of  $t=-2\log|z|$ 

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} (\log c_D) = \pi K_D \quad \text{(Suita)}$$

$$K_D(z) := \sup\{|f(z)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(D), \ \int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \le 1\}.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} (\log c_D) = \pi K_D \quad \text{(Suita)}$$

$$K_D(z) := \sup\{|f(z)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(D), \ \int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \le 1\}.$$

Therefore the Suita conjecture is equivalent to

$$c_D^2 \le \pi K_D$$
.

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} (\log c_D) = \pi K_D \quad \text{(Suita)}$$

$$K_D(z) := \sup\{|f(z)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(D), \ \int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \le 1\}.$$

Therefore the Suita conjecture is equivalent to

$$c_D^2 \le \pi K_D.$$

It is thus an extension problem: for  $z\in D$  find holomorphic f in D such that f(z)=1 and

$$\int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \le \frac{\pi}{(c_D(z))^2}.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} (\log c_D) = \pi K_D \quad \text{(Suita)}$$

$$K_D(z) := \sup\{|f(z)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(D), \ \int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \le 1\}.$$

Therefore the Suita conjecture is equivalent to

$$c_D^2 \le \pi K_D.$$

It is thus an extension problem: for  $z\in D$  find holomorphic f in D such that f(z)=1 and

$$\int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_D(z))^2}.$$

Ohsawa (1995), using the methods of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem, showed the estimate

$$c_D^2 \le C\pi K_D$$

with C = 750.

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} (\log c_D) = \pi K_D \quad \text{(Suita)}$$

$$K_D(z) := \sup\{|f(z)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(D), \ \int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \le 1\}.$$

Therefore the Suita conjecture is equivalent to

$$c_D^2 \le \pi K_D.$$

It is thus an extension problem: for  $z\in D$  find holomorphic f in D such that f(z)=1 and

$$\int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_D(z))^2}.$$

Ohsawa (1995), using the methods of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem, showed the estimate

$$c_D^2 \le C\pi K_D$$

with C = 750.

C = 2 (B., 2007) C = 1.95388... (Guan-Zhou-Zhu, 2011)

- $\Omega$  bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  ,  $\varphi$  psh in  $\Omega$
- H complex affine subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$
- f holomorphic in  $\Omega':=\Omega\cap H$

Then there exists a holomorphic extension F of f to  $\Omega$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda',$$

where C depends only on n and the diameter of  $\Omega$ .

- $\Omega$  bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  ,  $\varphi$  psh in  $\Omega$
- H complex affine subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$
- f holomorphic in  $\Omega':=\Omega\cap H$

Then there exists a holomorphic extension F of f to  $\Omega$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda',$$

where C depends only on n and the diameter of  $\Omega$ .

Siu / Berndtsson (1996): If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{|z_n < 1\}$  and  $H = \{z_n = 0\}$  then  $C = 4\pi$ .

- $\Omega$  bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  ,  $\varphi$  psh in  $\Omega$
- H complex affine subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$
- f holomorphic in  $\Omega':=\Omega\cap H$

Then there exists a holomorphic extension F of f to  $\Omega$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda',$$

where C depends only on n and the diameter of  $\Omega$ .

Siu / Berndtsson (1996): If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{|z_n < 1\}$  and  $H = \{z_n = 0\}$  then  $C = 4\pi$ .

Problem. Can we improve to  $C = \pi$ ?

- $\Omega$  bounded pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  ,  $\varphi$  psh in  $\Omega$
- H complex affine subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$
- f holomorphic in  $\Omega':=\Omega\cap H$

Then there exists a holomorphic extension F of f to  $\Omega$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda',$$

where C depends only on n and the diameter of  $\Omega$ .

Siu / Berndtsson (1996): If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{|z_n < 1\}$  and  $H = \{z_n = 0\}$  then  $C = 4\pi$ .

Problem. Can we improve to  $C = \pi$ ?

B.-Y. Chen (2011): Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem can be deduced directly from Hörmander's estimate for  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation!

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $K':=\{y\in \mathbb{R}^n: x\cdot y\leq 1 \text{ for every } x\in K\}$ 

 $\mathsf{Mahler \ volume}:=\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$K' := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \le 1 \text{ for every } x \in K \}$$

Mahler volume :=  $\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

Santaló Inequality (1949): Mahler volume is maximized by balls.

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$K' := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \le 1 \text{ for every } x \in K \}$$

Mahler volume :=  $\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

Santaló Inequality (1949): Mahler volume is maximized by balls.

Mahler Conjecture (1938): Mahler volume is minimized by cubes.

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$K' := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \le 1 \text{ for every } x \in K \}$$

 $\mathsf{Mahler \ volume}:=\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

Santaló Inequality (1949): Mahler volume is maximized by balls.

Mahler Conjecture (1938): Mahler volume is minimized by cubes.

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $K':=\{y\in \mathbb{R}^n: x\cdot y\leq 1 \text{ for every } x\in K\}$ 

Mahler volume :=  $\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

Santaló Inequality (1949): Mahler volume is maximized by balls.

Mahler Conjecture (1938): Mahler volume is minimized by cubes.



K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $K':=\{y\in \mathbb{R}^n: x\cdot y\leq 1 \text{ for every } x\in K\}$ 

Mahler volume :=  $\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

Santaló Inequality (1949): Mahler volume is maximized by balls.

Mahler Conjecture (1938): Mahler volume is minimized by cubes.



K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $K':=\{y\in \mathbb{R}^n: x\cdot y\leq 1 \text{ for every } x\in K\}$ 

Mahler volume :=  $\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

Santaló Inequality (1949): Mahler volume is maximized by balls.

Mahler Conjecture (1938): Mahler volume is minimized by cubes.



K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $K' := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \le 1 \text{ for every } x \in K \}$ 

Mahler volume :=  $\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

Santaló Inequality (1949): Mahler volume is maximized by balls.

Mahler Conjecture (1938): Mahler volume is minimized by cubes.

True for n = 2:



Bourgain-Milman (1987): There exists c > 0 such that

$$\lambda(K)\lambda(K') \ge c^n \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

Mahler Conjecture: c = 1

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $K' := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n : x \cdot y \le 1 \text{ for every } x \in K \}$ 

Mahler volume :=  $\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

Santaló Inequality (1949): Mahler volume is maximized by balls.

Mahler Conjecture (1938): Mahler volume is minimized by cubes.

True for n = 2:



Bourgain-Milman (1987): There exists c > 0 such that

$$\lambda(K)\lambda(K') \ge c^n \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

Mahler Conjecture: c = 1, G. Kuperberg (2006):  $c = \pi/4$ 

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

 $K':=\{y\in \mathbb{R}^n: x\cdot y\leq 1 \text{ for every } x\in K\}$ 

Mahler volume :=  $\lambda(K)\lambda(K')$ 

Santaló Inequality (1949): Mahler volume is maximized by balls.

Mahler Conjecture (1938): Mahler volume is minimized by cubes.

True for n = 2:



Bourgain-Milman (1987): There exists c > 0 such that

$$\lambda(K)\lambda(K') \ge c^n \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

Mahler Conjecture: c = 1, G. Kuperberg (2006):  $c = \pi/4$ 

Nazarov (2012): One can show the Bourgain-Milman inequality with  $c = (\pi/4)^3$  using Hörmander's estimate.

#### Hörmander's Estimate (1965)

 $\begin{array}{l} \Omega \text{ - pseudoconvex in } \mathbb{C}^n, \ \varphi \text{ - smooth, strongly psh in } \Omega\\ \alpha = \sum_j \alpha_j d\bar{z}_j \in L^2_{loc,(0,1)}(\Omega), \ \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0\\ \text{Then one can find } u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ with } \ \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \text{ and}\\ \int |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int |\alpha|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \end{array}$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi} e^{-\varphi} d\lambda$$

Here  $|\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} = \sum_{j,k} \varphi^{j\bar{k}} \bar{\alpha}_j \alpha_k$ , where  $(\varphi^{j\bar{k}}) = (\partial^2 \varphi / \partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k)^{-1}$  is the length of  $\alpha$  w.r.t. the Kähler metric  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ .

### Hörmander's Estimate (1965)

 $\begin{array}{l} \Omega \text{ - pseudoconvex in } \mathbb{C}^n, \ \varphi \text{ - smooth, strongly psh in } \Omega\\ \alpha = \sum_j \alpha_j d\bar{z}_j \in L^2_{loc,(0,1)}(\Omega), \ \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0\\ \text{Then one can find } u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ with } \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \text{ and}\\ \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{-\varphi} d\lambda. \end{array}$ 

Here  $|\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} = \sum_{j,k} \varphi^{j\bar{k}} \bar{\alpha}_j \alpha_k$ , where  $(\varphi^{j\bar{k}}) = (\partial^2 \varphi / \partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k)^{-1}$  is the length of  $\alpha$  w.r.t. the Kähler metric  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ .

The estimate also makes sense for non-smooth  $\varphi$ : instead of  $|\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar\partial\varphi}$  one has to take any nonnegative  $H\in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)$  with

 $i\bar{\alpha}\wedge\alpha\leq H\,i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ 

(B., 2005).

### Donnelly-Fefferman (1982)

 $\begin{array}{l} \Omega, \ \alpha, \ \varphi \ \text{as before} \\ \psi \ \text{psh in} \ \Omega \ \text{s.th.} \ |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1 \ \text{(that is } i\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \text{)} \\ \text{Then one can find} \ u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \ \text{with} \ \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \ \text{and} \end{array}$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{-\varphi} d\lambda,$$

where C is an absolute constant.

### Donnelly-Fefferman (1982)

 $\begin{array}{l} \Omega, \ \alpha, \ \varphi \ \text{as before} \\ \psi \ \text{psh in} \ \Omega \ \text{s.th.} \ |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1 \ \text{(that is } i\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \text{)} \\ \text{Then one can find} \ u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \ \text{with} \ \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \ \text{and} \end{array}$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{-\varphi} d\lambda,$$

where C is an absolute constant.

# Berndtsson (1996)

 $\Omega$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  as before Then, if  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , one can find  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial} u = \alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{4}{(1-\delta)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$
### Donnelly-Fefferman (1982)

 $\begin{array}{l} \Omega, \ \alpha, \ \varphi \ \text{as before} \\ \psi \ \text{psh in} \ \Omega \ \text{s.th.} \ |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1 \ \text{(that is } i\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \text{)} \\ \text{Then one can find} \ u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \ \text{with} \ \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \ \text{and} \end{array}$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{-\varphi} d\lambda,$$

where C is an absolute constant.

## Berndtsson (1996)

 $\Omega$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  as before Then, if  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , one can find  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial} u = \alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{4}{(1-\delta)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

The above constant was obtained in B. 2004 and is optimal (B. 2012). Therefore C = 4 is optimal in Donnelly-Fefferman.

### Donnelly-Fefferman (1982)

 $\begin{array}{l} \Omega, \ \alpha, \ \varphi \ \text{as before} \\ \psi \ \text{psh in} \ \Omega \ \text{s.th.} \ |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1 \ \text{(that is } i\partial\psi \wedge \bar{\partial}\psi \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \text{)} \\ \text{Then one can find} \ u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \ \text{with} \ \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \ \text{and} \end{array}$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \le C \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{-\varphi} d\lambda,$$

where C is an absolute constant.

# Berndtsson (1996)

 $\Omega$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  as before Then, if  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , one can find  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial} u = \alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda \le \frac{4}{(1-\delta)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

The above constant was obtained in B. 2004 and is optimal (B. 2012). Therefore C = 4 is optimal in Donnelly-Fefferman.

Berndtsson's estimate is not enough to obtain Ohsawa-Takegoshi (it would be if it were true for  $\delta = 1$ ).

# Berndtsson's Estimate

$$\begin{array}{l} \Omega \ \text{-pseudoconvex} \\ \alpha \in L^2_{loc,(0,1)}(\Omega), \ \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0 \\ \varphi, \ \psi \ \text{-psh}, \ |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1 \\ \text{Then, if } 0 \leq \delta < 1, \ \text{one can find } u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \ \text{with } \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \ \text{and} \end{array}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{4}{(1-\delta)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

### Berndtsson's Estimate

 $\Omega$  - pseudoconvex  $\alpha \in L^2_{loc,(0,1)}(\Omega), \, \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0 \\ \varphi, \, \psi \text{ - psh, } |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1 \\ \text{Then, if } 0 \leq \delta < 1 \text{, one can find } u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ with } \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \text{ and }$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda \le \frac{4}{(1-\delta)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda$$

Theorem.  $\Omega$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  as above Assume in addition that  $|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq \delta < 1$  on  $\operatorname{supp} \alpha$ . Then there exists  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  solving  $\bar{\partial}u = \alpha$  with

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi}) e^{\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \sqrt{\delta})^2} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} e^{\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

### Berndtsson's Estimate

 $\Omega$  - pseudoconvex  $\alpha \in L^2_{loc,(0,1)}(\Omega), \ \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0$   $\varphi, \ \psi \text{ - psh, } |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1$  Then, if  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , one can find  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial}u = \alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda \le \frac{4}{(1-\delta)^2} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial \bar{\partial} \psi} e^{\delta \psi - \varphi} d\lambda$$

Theorem.  $\Omega$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  as above Assume in addition that  $|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq \delta < 1$  on  $\operatorname{supp} \alpha$ . Then there exists  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  solving  $\bar{\partial}u = \alpha$  with

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi}) e^{\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{(1 - \sqrt{\delta})^2} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} e^{\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

From this estimate one can obtain Ohsawa-Takegoshi and Suita with C = 1.95388... (obtained earlier by Guan-Zhou-Zhu).

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Theorem. } \Omega \text{ - pseudoconvex in } \mathbb{C}^n \text{, } \varphi \text{ - psh in } \Omega \\ \alpha \in L^2_{loc,(0,1)}(\Omega) \text{, } \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0 \\ \psi \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ locally bounded from above, s.th.} \end{array}$ 

$$|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial} u = \alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

$$\begin{split} & |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega\\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial}u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

Proof. (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.)

$$|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar\partial u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

**Proof.** (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.) By approximation we may assume that  $\varphi$  is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and  $\psi$  is bounded.

$$|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial}u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

**Proof.** (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.) By approximation we may assume that  $\varphi$  is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and  $\psi$  is bounded.

u - minimal solution to  $\bar{\partial} u = \alpha$  in  $L^2(\Omega, e^{\psi - \varphi})$ 

$$\left. \bar{\partial}\psi \right|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{ in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{ on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial}u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

**Proof.** (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.) By approximation we may assume that  $\varphi$  is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and  $\psi$  is bounded.

 $\begin{array}{l} u \text{ - minimal solution to } \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{\psi - \varphi}) \\ \Rightarrow u \perp \ker \bar{\partial} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{\psi - \varphi}) \end{array}$ 

$$\left. \bar{\partial}\psi \right|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{ in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{ on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial} u = \alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

**Proof.** (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.) By approximation we may assume that  $\varphi$  is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and  $\psi$  is bounded.

 $\begin{array}{l} u \text{ - minimal solution to } \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{\psi - \varphi}) \\ \Rightarrow u \perp \ker \bar{\partial} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{\psi - \varphi}) \\ \Rightarrow v := u e^{\psi} \perp \ker \bar{\partial} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}) \end{array}$ 

$$\left. \bar{\partial}\psi \right|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{ in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{ on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar\partial u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

**Proof.** (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.) By approximation we may assume that  $\varphi$  is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and  $\psi$  is bounded.

$$\begin{array}{l} u \text{ - minimal solution to } \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}) \\ \Rightarrow u \perp \ker \bar{\partial} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}) \\ \Rightarrow v := u e^{\psi} \perp \ker \bar{\partial} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}) \\ \Rightarrow v \text{ - minimal solution to } \bar{\partial}v = \beta := e^{\psi}(\alpha + u\bar{\partial}\psi) \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}) \end{array}$$

$$\left. \bar{\partial}\psi \right|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{ in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{ on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial}u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

**Proof.** (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.) By approximation we may assume that  $\varphi$  is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and  $\psi$  is bounded.

 $\begin{array}{l} u \text{ - minimal solution to } \bar{\partial}u = \alpha \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}) \\ \Rightarrow u \perp \ker \bar{\partial} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}) \\ \Rightarrow v := u e^{\psi} \perp \ker \bar{\partial} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}) \\ \Rightarrow v \text{ - minimal solution to } \bar{\partial}v = \beta := e^{\psi}(\alpha + u\bar{\partial}\psi) \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}) \\ \text{By Hörmander's estimate} \end{array}$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_{\Omega} |\beta|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{-\varphi} d\lambda$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \int_{\Omega} |\alpha + u \, \bar{\partial} \psi|^2_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda$$

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\alpha + u \,\bar{\partial} \psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} + 2|u|\sqrt{H} |\alpha|_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} + |u|^2 H \right) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda, \end{split}$$

where  $H = |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}$ .

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\alpha + u \, \bar{\partial} \psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} + 2|u|\sqrt{H} |\alpha|_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} + |u|^2 H \right) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda, \end{split}$$

where  $H=|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}.$  For t>0 we will get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1-H) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \left( 1 + t^{-1} \frac{H}{1-H} \right) + t |u|^2 (1-H) \right] e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \\ &\leq \left( 1 + t^{-1} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \\ &\qquad + t \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1-H) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\alpha + u \, \bar{\partial} \psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} + 2|u|\sqrt{H} |\alpha|_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} + |u|^2 H \right) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda, \end{split}$$

where  $H=|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}.$  For t>0 we will get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1-H) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left[ |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \left( 1 + t^{-1} \frac{H}{1-H} \right) + t |u|^2 (1-H) \right] e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \\ &\leq \left( 1 + t^{-1} \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \\ &\qquad + t \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1-H) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda. \end{split}$$

We will obtain the required estimate if we take  $t:=1/(\delta^{-1/2}+1).$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Theorem. } \Omega \text{ - pseudoconvex in } \mathbb{C}^n \text{, } \varphi \text{ - psh in } \Omega \\ \alpha \in L^2_{loc,(0,1)}(\Omega) \text{, } \bar{\partial}\alpha = 0 \\ \psi \in W^{1,2}_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ locally bounded from above, s.th.} \end{array}$ 

$$|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial} u = \alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

$$\begin{split} & |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega\\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar\partial u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

Remarks. 1. Setting  $\psi \equiv 0$  we recover the Hörmander estimate.

$$|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial}u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

Remarks. 1. Setting  $\psi \equiv 0$  we recover the Hörmander estimate.

2. This theorem implies Donnelly-Fefferman and Berndtsson's estimates with optimal constants:

$$|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial}u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

Remarks. 1. Setting  $\psi \equiv 0$  we recover the Hörmander estimate.

2. This theorem implies Donnelly-Fefferman and Berndtsson's estimates with optimal constants: for psh  $\varphi, \psi$  with  $|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1$  and  $\delta < 1$  set  $\widetilde{\varphi} := \varphi + \psi$  and  $\widetilde{\psi} = \frac{1+\delta}{2}\psi$ .

$$|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial}u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

Remarks. 1. Setting  $\psi \equiv 0$  we recover the Hörmander estimate.

2. This theorem implies Donnelly-Fefferman and Berndtsson's estimates with optimal constants: for psh  $\varphi, \psi$  with  $|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1$  and  $\delta < 1$  set  $\widetilde{\varphi} := \varphi + \psi$  and  $\widetilde{\psi} = \frac{1+\delta}{2}\psi$ . Then  $2\widetilde{\psi} - \widetilde{\varphi} = \delta\psi - \varphi$  and  $|\bar{\partial}\widetilde{\psi}|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\widetilde{\varphi}} \leq \frac{(1+\delta)^2}{4} =: \widetilde{\delta}$ .

$$|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} \begin{cases} \leq 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta < 1 & \text{on supp } \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists  $u\in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$  with  $\bar{\partial}u=\alpha$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 (1 - |\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi}) e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\delta}}{1 - \sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega} |\alpha|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi} e^{2\psi - \varphi} d\lambda.$$

Remarks. 1. Setting  $\psi \equiv 0$  we recover the Hörmander estimate.

2. This theorem implies Donnelly-Fefferman and Berndtsson's estimates with optimal constants: for psh  $\varphi, \psi$  with  $|\bar{\partial}\psi|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi} \leq 1$  and  $\delta < 1$  set  $\widetilde{\varphi} := \varphi + \psi$  and  $\widetilde{\psi} = \frac{1+\delta}{2}\psi$ . Then  $2\widetilde{\psi} - \widetilde{\varphi} = \delta\psi - \varphi$  and  $|\bar{\partial}\widetilde{\psi}|^2_{i\partial\bar{\partial}\widetilde{\varphi}} \leq \frac{(1+\delta)^2}{4} =: \widetilde{\delta}$ . We will get Berndtsson's estimate with the constant

$$\frac{1+\sqrt{\tilde{\delta}}}{(1-\sqrt{\tilde{\delta}})(1-\tilde{\delta})} = \frac{4}{(1-\delta)^2}.$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_D(0))^2} \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda'.$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_D(0))^2} \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda'.$$

(For n = 1 and  $\varphi \equiv 0$  we obtain the Suita Conjecture.)

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_D(0))^2} \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda'.$$

(For n = 1 and  $\varphi \equiv 0$  we obtain the Suita Conjecture.)

Sketch of proof. By approximation may assume that  $\Omega$  is bounded, smooth, strongly pseudoconvex,  $\varphi$  is smooth up to the boundary, and f is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega'}$ .

$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{(c_D(0))^2} \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda'.$$

(For n = 1 and  $\varphi \equiv 0$  we obtain the Suita Conjecture.)

Sketch of proof. By approximation may assume that  $\Omega$  is bounded, smooth, strongly pseudoconvex,  $\varphi$  is smooth up to the boundary, and f is holomorphic in a neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega'}$ .

 $\varepsilon > 0$ 

G $\widetilde{\varphi}$  $\psi$ 

$$\begin{split} \alpha &:= \bar{\partial} \left( f(z') \chi(-2 \log |z_n|) \right), \\ \text{where } \chi(t) &= 0 \text{ for } t \leq -2 \log \varepsilon \text{ and } \chi(\infty) = 1. \\ G &:= G_D(\cdot, 0) \\ \widetilde{\varphi} &:= \varphi + 2G + \eta(-2G) \\ \psi &:= \gamma(-2G) \end{split}$$

 $F := f(z')\chi(-2\log|z_n|) - u$ , where u is a solution of  $\bar{\partial}u = \alpha$  given by the previous thm.

## **Crucial ODE Problem**

Find  $g \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $h \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that h' < 0, h'' > 0,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} (g(t) + \log t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} (h(t) + \log t) = 0$ 

and

$$\left(1 - \frac{(g')^2}{h''}\right)e^{2g-h+t} \ge 1.$$

## **Crucial ODE Problem**

Find  $g \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $h \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that h' < 0, h'' > 0,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} (g(t) + \log t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} (h(t) + \log t) = 0$ 

and

$$\left(1 - \frac{(g')^2}{h''}\right)e^{2g-h+t} \ge 1.$$

Solution:

$$h(t) := -\log(t + e^{-t} - 1)$$
  
$$g(t) := -\log(t + e^{-t} - 1) + \log(1 - e^{-t}).$$

## **Crucial ODE Problem**

Find  $g \in C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $h \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that h' < 0, h'' > 0,  $\lim_{t \to \infty} (g(t) + \log t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} (h(t) + \log t) = 0$ 

and

$$\left(1 - \frac{(g')^2}{h''}\right)e^{2g-h+t} \ge 1.$$

Solution:

$$h(t) := -\log(t + e^{-t} - 1)$$
  
$$g(t) := -\log(t + e^{-t} - 1) + \log(1 - e^{-t}).$$

Guan-Zhou recently gave another proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi with optimal constant (and obtained some generalizations) but used essentially the same ODE.

$$\begin{split} K_{\Omega}(w) &= \sup\{|f(w)|^{2} : f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \ \int_{\Omega} |f|^{2} d\lambda \leq 1\} \\ G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w) &= \sup\{v \in PSH^{-}(\Omega), \ \overline{\lim_{z \to w}}(v(z) - \log|z - w|) < \infty\} \\ (\text{pluricomplex Green function}) \end{split}$$

 $K_\Omega(w) = \sup\{|f(w)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \ \int_\Omega |f|^2 d\lambda \le 1\} \text{ (Bergman kernel)}$ 

$$G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w) = \sup\{v \in PSH^{-}(\Omega), \ \overline{\lim_{z \to w}}(v(z) - \log|z - w|) < \infty\}$$
(pluricomplex Green function)

Theorem. Assume  $\Omega$  is pseudoconvex in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then for  $a \ge 0$  and  $w \in \Omega$ 

$$K_{\Omega}(w) \ge \frac{1}{e^{2na}\lambda(\{G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w) < -a\})}.$$

 $K_{\Omega}(w) = \sup\{|f(w)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \ \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 d\lambda \le 1\}$  (Bergman kernel)

$$G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w) = \sup\{v \in PSH^{-}(\Omega), \ \overline{\lim_{z \to w}}(v(z) - \log|z - w|) < \infty\}$$
(pluricomplex Green function)

Theorem. Assume  $\Omega$  is pseudoconvex in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then for  $a \ge 0$  and  $w \in \Omega$ 

$$K_{\Omega}(w) \ge \frac{1}{e^{2na}\lambda(\{G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w) < -a\})}.$$

Corollary 1. If n = 1 then

$$K_{\Omega}(w) \ge \frac{c_{\Omega}(w)^2}{\pi}.$$

 $K_{\Omega}(w) = \sup\{|f(w)|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \ \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 d\lambda \le 1\}$  (Bergman kernel)

$$G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w) = \sup\{v \in PSH^{-}(\Omega), \ \overline{\lim_{z \to w}}(v(z) - \log|z - w|) < \infty\}$$
(pluricomplex Green function)

Theorem. Assume  $\Omega$  is pseudoconvex in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then for  $a \ge 0$  and  $w \in \Omega$ 

$$K_{\Omega}(w) \ge \frac{1}{e^{2na}\lambda(\{G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w) < -a\})}.$$

Corollary 1. If n = 1 then

$$K_{\Omega}(w) \ge \frac{c_{\Omega}(w)^2}{\pi}$$

Corollary 2. If  $\Omega$  is convex in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  then for  $w \in \Omega$ 

$$K_{\Omega}(w) \ge \frac{1}{\lambda_{2n}(I_{\Omega}(w))},$$

where  $I_{\Omega}(w) = \{\varphi'(0) : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, \Omega), \ \varphi(0) = w\}$  (Kobayashi indicatrix).

Theorem. Assume  $\Omega$  is pseudoconvex in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then for  $a \ge 0$  and  $w \in \Omega$ 

$$K_{\Omega}(w) \ge \frac{1}{e^{2na}\lambda(\{G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w) < -a\})}.$$

Sketch of proof. May assume that  $\Omega$  is bounded, smooth and strongly pseudoconvex.  $G := G_{\Omega,w}$ . Using Donnelly-Fefferman with

$$\begin{split} \varphi &:= 2nG, \quad \psi := -\log(-G), \\ \alpha &:= \bar{\partial}(\chi \circ G) = \chi' \circ G \,\bar{\partial}G, \\ \chi(t) &:= \begin{cases} 0 & t \ge -a, \\ \int_a^{-t} \frac{e^{-ns}}{s} \, ds & t < -a, \\ f &:= \chi \circ G - u \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \end{split}$$

we will get

$$K_{\Omega}(w) \ge \frac{|f(w)|^2}{||f||^2} \ge \frac{c_{n,a}}{\lambda(\{G < -a\})},$$

where

$$c_{n,a} = \frac{\operatorname{Ei}(na)^2}{(\operatorname{Ei}(na) + \sqrt{C})^2}, \quad \operatorname{Ei}(a) = \int_a^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s} \, ds.$$
Tensor power trick.

Tensor power trick.  $\widetilde{\Omega}:=\Omega^m\subset\mathbb{C}^{nm},\,\widetilde{w}:=(w,\ldots,w),\,m\gg 0$ 

Tensor power trick.  $\widetilde{\Omega} := \Omega^m \subset \mathbb{C}^{nm}$ ,  $\widetilde{w} := (w, \dots, w)$ ,  $m \gg 0$  $K_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(\widetilde{w}) = (K_{\Omega}(w))^m$ ,  $\lambda_{2nm}(\{G_{\widetilde{\Omega},\widetilde{w}} < -a\}) = (\lambda_{2n}(\{G < -a\})^m$ . Tensor power trick.  $\widetilde{\Omega} := \Omega^m \subset \mathbb{C}^{nm}$ ,  $\widetilde{w} := (w, \dots, w)$ ,  $m \gg 0$   $K_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(\widetilde{w}) = (K_{\Omega}(w))^m$ ,  $\lambda_{2nm}(\{G_{\widetilde{\Omega},\widetilde{w}} < -a\}) = (\lambda_{2n}(\{G < -a\})^m$ .  $(K_{\Omega}(w))^m \ge \frac{c_{nm,a}}{(\lambda_{2n}(\{G < -a\}))^m}$  Tensor power trick.  $\widetilde{\Omega} := \Omega^m \subset \mathbb{C}^{nm}$ ,  $\widetilde{w} := (w, \dots, w)$ ,  $m \gg 0$   $K_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(\widetilde{w}) = (K_{\Omega}(w))^m$ ,  $\lambda_{2nm}(\{G_{\widetilde{\Omega},\widetilde{w}} < -a\}) = (\lambda_{2n}(\{G < -a\})^m$ .  $(K_{\Omega}(w))^m \ge \frac{c_{nm,a}}{(\lambda_{2n}(\{G < -a\}))^m}$ but

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} c_{nm,a}^{1/m} = e^{-2na}$$

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  Nazarov: consider the tube domain  $T_K:=\mathrm{int}K+i\mathbb{R}^n\subset\mathbb{C}^n.$  Then

(1) 
$$\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n} \frac{1}{(\lambda_n(K))^2} \le K_{T_K}(0) \le \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{\lambda_n(K')}{\lambda_n(K)}.$$

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  Nazarov: consider the tube domain  $T_K:=\mathrm{int}K+i\mathbb{R}^n\subset\mathbb{C}^n.$  Then

(2) 
$$\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n} \frac{1}{(\lambda_n(K))^2} \le K_{T_K}(0) \le \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{\lambda_n(K')}{\lambda_n(K)}.$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_n(K)\lambda_n(K') \ge \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{3n} \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  Nazarov: consider the tube domain  $T_K:=\mathrm{int}K+i\mathbb{R}^n\subset\mathbb{C}^n.$  Then

(3) 
$$\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n} \frac{1}{(\lambda_n(K))^2} \le K_{T_K}(0) \le \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{\lambda_n(K')}{\lambda_n(K)}.$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_n(K)\lambda_n(K') \ge \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{3n} \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

To show the lower bound in (1) we can use Corollary 2:  $K_{T_K}(0) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{2n}(I)}, \text{ where } I = \{\varphi'(0) : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, T_K), \ \varphi(0) = 0\}.$ 

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  Nazarov: consider the tube domain  $T_K:=\mathrm{int}K+i\mathbb{R}^n\subset\mathbb{C}^n.$  Then

(4) 
$$\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n} \frac{1}{(\lambda_n(K))^2} \le K_{T_K}(0) \le \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{\lambda_n(K')}{\lambda_n(K)}.$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_n(K)\lambda_n(K') \ge \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{3n} \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

To show the lower bound in (1) we can use Corollary 2:  $K_{T_K}(0) \ge \frac{1}{\lambda_{2n}(I)}$ , where  $I = \{\varphi'(0) : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, T_K), \ \varphi(0) = 0\}$ .

Proposition (Nazarov).  $I \subset \frac{4}{\pi}(K+iK)$ 

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  Nazarov: consider the tube domain  $T_K:=\mathrm{int}K+i\mathbb{R}^n\subset\mathbb{C}^n.$  Then

(5) 
$$\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n} \frac{1}{(\lambda_n(K))^2} \le K_{T_K}(0) \le \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{\lambda_n(K')}{\lambda_n(K)}.$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_n(K)\lambda_n(K') \ge \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{3n} \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

To show the lower bound in (1) we can use Corollary 2:  $K_{T_K}(0) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{2n}(I)}, \text{ where } I = \{\varphi'(0) : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, T_K), \ \varphi(0) = 0\}.$ 

Proposition (Nazarov).  $I \subset \frac{4}{\pi}(K+iK)$ 

Corollary. 
$$\lambda_{2n}(I) \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{2n} (\lambda_n(K))^2$$

K - convex symmetric body in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  Nazarov: consider the tube domain  $T_K:=\mathrm{int}K+i\mathbb{R}^n\subset\mathbb{C}^n.$  Then

(6) 
$$\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2n} \frac{1}{(\lambda_n(K))^2} \le K_{T_K}(0) \le \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{\lambda_n(K')}{\lambda_n(K)}.$$

Therefore

$$\lambda_n(K)\lambda_n(K') \ge \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{3n} \frac{4^n}{n!}.$$

To show the lower bound in (1) we can use Corollary 2:  $K_{T_K}(0) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{2n}(I)}, \text{ where } I = \{\varphi'(0) : \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, T_K), \ \varphi(0) = 0\}.$ 

Proposition (Nazarov).  $I \subset \frac{4}{\pi}(K+iK)$ 

Corollary. 
$$\lambda_{2n}(I) \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{2n} (\lambda_n(K))^2$$
  
Conjecture.  $\lambda_{2n}(I) \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^n (\lambda_n(K))^2$   
 $K_{T_K}(0) \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^n \frac{1}{(\lambda_n(K))^2}$  (equality for cubes)

By the Lempert theory, if K is smooth, symmetric, strongly convex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\nu: \partial K \to S^{n-1}$$

is the Gauss map, then  $\partial I$  is parametrized by

$$\frac{1}{4}\int_0^{2\pi}e^{it}\,\nu^{-1}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{it}\bar{w}\right)}{|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{it}\bar{w}\right)|}\right)dt,\quad w\in S^{2n-1}$$