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We will discuss applications of Hörmander's $L^{2}$-estimate for $\bar{\partial}$ in the following problems:

1. Suita Conjecture (1972) from potential theory
2. Optimal constant in the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem (1987)
3. Mahler Conjecture (1938) from convex analysis
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- "=" if $D$ is simply connected
- " $<$ " if $D$ is an annulus (Suita)
- Enough to prove for $D$ with smooth boundary
- "=" on $\partial D$ if $D$ has smooth boundary
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$C=2$
(B., 2007)
$C=1.95388 \ldots$
(Guan-Zhou-Zhu, 2011)

Ohsawa-Takegoshi Extension Theorem (1987)
$\Omega$ - bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - psh in $\Omega$
$H$ - complex affine subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$
$f$ - holomorphic in $\Omega^{\prime}:=\Omega \cap H$
Then there exists a holomorphic extension $F$ of $f$ to $\Omega$ such that
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\int_{\Omega}|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq C \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda^{\prime}
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where $C$ depends only on $n$ and the diameter of $\Omega$.
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Problem. Can we improve to $C=\pi$ ?
B.-Y. Chen (2011): Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem can be deduced directly from Hörmander's estimate for $\bar{\partial}$-equation!
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Bourgain-Milman (1987): There exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\lambda(K) \lambda\left(K^{\prime}\right) \geq c^{n} \frac{4^{n}}{n!}
$$

Mahler Conjecture: $c=1, \quad$ G. Kuperberg (2006): $c=\pi / 4$
Nazarov (2012): One can show the Bourgain-Milman inequality with $c=(\pi / 4)^{3}$ using Hörmander's estimate.

Hörmander's Estimate (1965)
$\Omega$ - pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - smooth, strongly psh in $\Omega$
$\alpha=\sum_{j} \alpha_{j} d \bar{z}_{j} \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega), \bar{\partial} \alpha=0$
Then one can find $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Here $|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}=\sum_{j, k} \varphi^{j \bar{k}} \bar{\alpha}_{j} \alpha_{k}$, where $\left(\varphi^{j \bar{k}}\right)=\left(\partial^{2} \varphi / \partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}\right)^{-1}$ is the length of $\alpha$ w.r.t. the Kähler metric $i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi$.
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The estimate also makes sense for non-smooth $\varphi$ : instead of $|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}$ one has to take any nonnegative $H \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with

$$
i \bar{\alpha} \wedge \alpha \leq H i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi
$$

(B., 2005).
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Berndtsson's estimate is not enough to obtain Ohsawa-Takegoshi (it would be if it were true for $\delta=1$ ).
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Theorem. $\Omega, \alpha, \varphi, \psi$ as above
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Theorem. $\Omega, \alpha, \varphi, \psi$ as above
Assume in addition that $|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} \leq \delta<1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \alpha$.
Then there exists $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ solving $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ with

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2}\right) e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1}{(1-\sqrt{\delta})^{2}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi}^{2} e^{\psi-\varphi} d \lambda
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From this estimate one can obtain Ohsawa-Takegoshi and Suita with $C=1.95388 \ldots$ (obtained earlier by Guan-Zhou-Zhu).

Theorem. $\Omega$-pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - psh in $\Omega$ $\alpha \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega), \bar{\partial} \alpha=0$
$\psi \in W_{l o c}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ locally bounded from above, s.th.

$$
|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \begin{cases}\leq 1 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta<1 & \text { on } \operatorname{supp} \alpha\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{\delta}}{1-\sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda .
$$

Theorem. $\Omega$-pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - psh in $\Omega$ $\alpha \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega), \bar{\partial} \alpha=0$
$\psi \in W_{l o c}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ locally bounded from above, s.th.

$$
|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \begin{cases}\leq 1 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta<1 & \text { on } \operatorname{supp} \alpha\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{\delta}}{1-\sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Proof. (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.)

Theorem. $\Omega$-pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - psh in $\Omega$ $\alpha \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega), \bar{\partial} \alpha=0$ $\psi \in W_{l o c}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ locally bounded from above, s.th.

$$
|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \begin{cases}\leq 1 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta<1 & \text { on } \operatorname{supp} \alpha\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{\delta}}{1-\sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Proof. (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.)
By approximation we may assume that $\varphi$ is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and $\psi$ is bounded.

Theorem. $\Omega$-pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - psh in $\Omega$ $\alpha \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega), \bar{\partial} \alpha=0$ $\psi \in W_{l o c}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ locally bounded from above, s.th.

$$
|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \begin{cases}\leq 1 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta<1 & \text { on } \operatorname{supp} \alpha\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{\delta}}{1-\sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Proof. (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.)
By approximation we may assume that $\varphi$ is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and $\psi$ is bounded.
$u$ - minimal solution to $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}\right)$

Theorem. $\Omega$ - pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - psh in $\Omega$ $\alpha \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega), \bar{\partial} \alpha=0$ $\psi \in W_{l o c}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ locally bounded from above, s.th.

$$
|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \begin{cases}\leq 1 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta<1 & \text { on } \operatorname{supp} \alpha\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{\delta}}{1-\sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Proof. (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.)
By approximation we may assume that $\varphi$ is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and $\psi$ is bounded.
$u$ - minimal solution to $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}\right)$
$\Rightarrow u \perp \operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial} \operatorname{in} L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}\right)$

Theorem. $\Omega$ - pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - psh in $\Omega$ $\alpha \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega), \bar{\partial} \alpha=0$ $\psi \in W_{l o c}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ locally bounded from above, s.th.

$$
|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \begin{cases}\leq 1 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta<1 & \text { on } \operatorname{supp} \alpha\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{\delta}}{1-\sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Proof. (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.)
By approximation we may assume that $\varphi$ is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and $\psi$ is bounded.
$u$ - minimal solution to $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}\right)$
$\Rightarrow u \perp \operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}\right)$
$\Rightarrow v:=u e^{\psi} \perp \operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}\right)$

Theorem. $\Omega$ - pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - psh in $\Omega$ $\alpha \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega), \bar{\partial} \alpha=0$ $\psi \in W_{l o c}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ locally bounded from above, s.th.

$$
|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \begin{cases}\leq 1 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta<1 & \text { on } \operatorname{supp} \alpha\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{\delta}}{1-\sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Proof. (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.)
By approximation we may assume that $\varphi$ is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and $\psi$ is bounded.
$u$ - minimal solution to $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}\right)$
$\Rightarrow u \perp \operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}\right)$
$\Rightarrow v:=u e^{\psi} \perp \operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}\right)$
$\Rightarrow v$ - minimal solution to $\bar{\partial} v=\beta:=e^{\psi}(\alpha+u \bar{\partial} \psi)$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}\right)$

Theorem. $\Omega$ - pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, \varphi$ - psh in $\Omega$ $\alpha \in L_{l o c,(0,1)}^{2}(\Omega), \bar{\partial} \alpha=0$ $\psi \in W_{l o c}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ locally bounded from above, s.th.

$$
|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} \begin{cases}\leq 1 & \text { in } \Omega \\ \leq \delta<1 & \text { on } \operatorname{supp} \alpha\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists $u \in L_{l o c}^{2}(\Omega)$ with $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2}\left(1-|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{\delta}}{1-\sqrt{\delta}} \int_{\Omega}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Proof. (Some ideas going back to Berndtsson and B.-Y. Chen.)
By approximation we may assume that $\varphi$ is smooth up to the boundary and strongly psh, and $\psi$ is bounded.
$u$ - minimal solution to $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}\right)$
$\Rightarrow u \perp \operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{\psi-\varphi}\right)$
$\Rightarrow v:=u e^{\psi} \perp \operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}\right)$
$\Rightarrow v$ - minimal solution to $\bar{\partial} v=\beta:=e^{\psi}(\alpha+u \bar{\partial} \psi)$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}\right)$
By Hörmander's estimate

$$
\int_{\Omega}|v|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \int_{\Omega}|\beta|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \int_{\Omega}|\alpha+u \bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda & \leq \int_{\Omega}|\alpha+u \bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}+2|u| \sqrt{H}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}+|u|^{2} H\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H=|\bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda & \leq \int_{\Omega}|\alpha+u \bar{\partial} \psi|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2} e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}^{2}+2|u| \sqrt{H}|\alpha|_{i \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi}+|u|^{2} H\right) e^{2 \psi-\varphi} d \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$
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We will obtain the required estimate if we take $t:=1 /\left(\delta^{-1 / 2}+1\right)$.
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We will get Berndtsson's estimate with the constant
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Theorem (Ohsawa-Takegoshi with optimal constant)
$\Omega$ - pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times D$, where $0 \in D \subset \mathbb{C}$,
$\varphi$ - psh in $\Omega, f$ - holomorphic in $\Omega^{\prime}:=\Omega \cap\left\{z_{n}=0\right\}$
Then there exists a holomorphic extension $F$ of $f$ to $\Omega$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{\left(c_{D}(0)\right)^{2}} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda^{\prime}
$$
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$\Omega$ - pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times D$, where $0 \in D \subset \mathbb{C}$,
$\varphi$ - psh in $\Omega, f$ - holomorphic in $\Omega^{\prime}:=\Omega \cap\left\{z_{n}=0\right\}$
Then there exists a holomorphic extension $F$ of $f$ to $\Omega$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|F|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{\left(c_{D}(0)\right)^{2}} \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}|f|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda^{\prime}
$$

(For $n=1$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$ we obtain the Suita Conjecture.)
Sketch of proof. By approximation may assume that $\Omega$ is bounded, smooth, strongly pseudoconvex, $\varphi$ is smooth up to the boundary, and $f$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\Omega^{\prime}}$.
$\varepsilon>0$

$$
\alpha:=\bar{\partial}\left(f\left(z^{\prime}\right) \chi\left(-2 \log \left|z_{n}\right|\right)\right),
$$

where $\chi(t)=0$ for $t \leq-2 \log \varepsilon$ and $\chi(\infty)=1$.
$G:=G_{D}(\cdot, 0)$
$\widetilde{\varphi}:=\varphi+2 G+\eta(-2 G)$
$\psi:=\gamma(-2 G)$
$F:=f\left(z^{\prime}\right) \chi\left(-2 \log \left|z_{n}\right|\right)-u$, where $u$ is a solution of $\bar{\partial} u=\alpha$ given by the previous thm.

## Crucial ODE Problem

Find $g \in C^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), h \in C^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $h^{\prime}<0, h^{\prime \prime}>0$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(g(t)+\log t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(h(t)+\log t)=0
$$

and

$$
\left(1-\frac{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{h^{\prime \prime}}\right) e^{2 g-h+t} \geq 1
$$
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\left(1-\frac{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{h^{\prime \prime}}\right) e^{2 g-h+t} \geq 1
$$

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(t) & :=-\log \left(t+e^{-t}-1\right) \\
g(t) & :=-\log \left(t+e^{-t}-1\right)+\log \left(1-e^{-t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(g(t)+\log t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}(h(t)+\log t)=0
$$

and

$$
\left(1-\frac{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{h^{\prime \prime}}\right) e^{2 g-h+t} \geq 1
$$

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(t) & :=-\log \left(t+e^{-t}-1\right) \\
g(t) & :=-\log \left(t+e^{-t}-1\right)+\log \left(1-e^{-t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Guan-Zhou recently gave another proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi with optimal constant (and obtained some generalizations) but used essentially the same ODE.

Another approach: general lower bound for the Bergman kernel

Another approach: general lower bound for the Bergman kernel

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\Omega}(w)=\sup \left\{|f(w)|^{2}: f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} d \lambda \leq 1\right\} \quad \text { (Bergman kernel) } \\
& G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)=\sup \left\{v \in P S H^{-}(\Omega), \varlimsup_{z \rightarrow w}(v(z)-\log |z-w|)<\infty\right\} \\
& \text { (pluricomplex Green function) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Another approach: general lower bound for the Bergman kernel

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\Omega}(w)=\sup \left\{|f(w)|^{2}: f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} d \lambda \leq 1\right\} \quad \text { (Bergman kernel) } \\
& G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)=\sup \left\{v \in P S H^{-}(\Omega), \varlimsup_{z \rightarrow w}(v(z)-\log |z-w|)<\infty\right\} \\
& \text { (pluricomplex Green function) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. Assume $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then for $a \geq 0$ and $w \in \Omega$

$$
K_{\Omega}(w) \geq \frac{1}{e^{2 n a} \lambda\left(\left\{G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)<-a\right\}\right)}
$$

## Another approach: general lower bound for the Bergman kernel

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\Omega}(w)=\sup \left\{|f(w)|^{2}: f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} d \lambda \leq 1\right\} \quad \text { (Bergman kernel) } \\
& G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)=\sup \left\{v \in P S H^{-}(\Omega), \varlimsup_{z \rightarrow w}(v(z)-\log |z-w|)<\infty\right\} \\
& \text { (pluricomplex Green function) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. Assume $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then for $a \geq 0$ and $w \in \Omega$

$$
K_{\Omega}(w) \geq \frac{1}{e^{2 n a} \lambda\left(\left\{G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)<-a\right\}\right)}
$$

Corollary 1. If $n=1$ then

$$
K_{\Omega}(w) \geq \frac{c_{\Omega}(w)^{2}}{\pi}
$$

## Another approach: general lower bound for the Bergman kernel

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{\Omega}(w)=\sup \left\{|f(w)|^{2}: f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|f|^{2} d \lambda \leq 1\right\} \quad \text { (Bergman kernel) } \\
& G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)=\sup \left\{v \in P S H^{-}(\Omega), \varlimsup_{z \rightarrow w}(v(z)-\log |z-w|)<\infty\right\} \\
& \text { (pluricomplex Green function) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. Assume $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then for $a \geq 0$ and $w \in \Omega$
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Corollary 1. If $n=1$ then

$$
K_{\Omega}(w) \geq \frac{c_{\Omega}(w)^{2}}{\pi}
$$

Corollary 2. If $\Omega$ is convex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ then for $w \in \Omega$

$$
K_{\Omega}(w) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{2 n}\left(I_{\Omega}(w)\right)}
$$

where $I_{\Omega}(w)=\left\{\varphi^{\prime}(0): \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta, \Omega), \varphi(0)=w\right\} \quad$ (Kobayashi indicatrix).

Theorem. Assume $\Omega$ is pseudoconvex in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then for $a \geq 0$ and $w \in \Omega$

$$
K_{\Omega}(w) \geq \frac{1}{e^{2 n a} \lambda\left(\left\{G_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)<-a\right\}\right)}
$$

Sketch of proof. May assume that $\Omega$ is bounded, smooth and strongly pseudoconvex. $G:=G_{\Omega, w}$. Using Donnelly-Fefferman with

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi:=2 n G, \quad \psi:=-\log (-G), \\
\alpha:=\bar{\partial}(\chi \circ G)=\chi^{\prime} \circ G \bar{\partial} G, \\
\chi(t)
\end{gathered}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & t \geq-a, \\
\int_{a}^{-t} \frac{e^{-n s}}{s} d s & t<-a,
\end{array},\right.
$$

we will get

$$
K_{\Omega}(w) \geq \frac{|f(w)|^{2}}{\|f\|^{2}} \geq \frac{c_{n, a}}{\lambda(\{G<-a\})}
$$

where

$$
c_{n, a}=\frac{\operatorname{Ei}(n a)^{2}}{(\operatorname{Ei}(n a)+\sqrt{C})^{2}}, \quad \operatorname{Ei}(a)=\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{s} d s
$$

Tensor power trick.
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Tensor power trick. $\widetilde{\Omega}:=\Omega^{m} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n m}, \widetilde{w}:=(w, \ldots, w), m \gg 0$

$$
K_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(\widetilde{w})=\left(K_{\Omega}(w)\right)^{m}, \quad \lambda_{2 n m}\left(\left\{G_{\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{w}}<-a\right\}\right)=\left(\lambda_{2 n}(\{G<-a\})^{m} .\right.
$$

$$
\left(K_{\Omega}(w)\right)^{m} \geq \frac{c_{n m, a}}{\left(\lambda_{2 n}(\{G<-a\})\right)^{m}}
$$

but

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} c_{n m, a}^{1 / m}=e^{-2 n a}
$$

Application to the Bourgain-Milman Inequality

## Application to the Bourgain-Milman Inequality

$K$ - convex symmetric body in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$
Nazarov: consider the tube domain $T_{K}:=\operatorname{int} K+i \mathbb{R}^{n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{2 n} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{n}(K)\right)^{2}} \leq K_{T_{K}}(0) \leq \frac{n!}{\pi^{n}} \frac{\lambda_{n}\left(K^{\prime}\right)}{\lambda_{n}(K)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
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Therefore

$$
\lambda_{n}(K) \lambda_{n}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{3 n} \frac{4^{n}}{n!}
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To show the lower bound in (1) we can use Corollary 2:
$K_{T_{K}}(0) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_{2 n}(I)}$, where $I=\left\{\varphi^{\prime}(0): \varphi \in \mathcal{O}\left(\Delta, T_{K}\right), \varphi(0)=0\right\}$.
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Conjecture. $\lambda_{2 n}(I) \leq\left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{n}\left(\lambda_{n}(K)\right)^{2}$

$$
K_{T_{K}}(0) \geq\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{n} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{n}(K)\right)^{2}} \quad \text { (equality for cubes) }
$$

By the Lempert theory, if $K$ is smooth, symmetric, strongly convex in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\nu: \partial K \rightarrow S^{n-1}
$$

is the Gauss map, then $\partial I$ is parametrized by

$$
\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{i t} \nu^{-1}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i t} \bar{w}\right)}{\left|\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i t} \bar{w}\right)\right|}\right) d t, \quad w \in S^{2 n-1}
$$

