

THE ROYAL
SWEDISH
ACADEMY OF
SCIENCES



**INSTITUT
MITTAG-LEFFLER**

Auravägen 17, SE-182 60 Djursholm, Sweden
Tel. +46 8 622 05 60 Fax. +46 8 622 05 89
info@mittag-leffler.se www.mittag-leffler.se

**On uniqueness of the complex
Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler
manifolds**

Z. Błocki

REPORT No. 1, 2007/2008, spring

ISSN 1103-467X

ISRN IML-R- -1-07/08- -SE+spring

ON UNIQUENESS OF THE COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION ON COMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

ZBIGNIEW BŁOCKI

ABSTRACT. We prove a partial uniqueness for solutions of the complex Monge-Ampère equation on a compact Kähler manifold in the class of quasisubharmonic functions introduced recently by Guedj and Zeriahi.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n . $PSH(X, \omega)$ will denote the class of quasisubharmonic functions φ on X satisfying $\omega_\varphi := \omega + dd^c\varphi \geq 0$. Guedj and Zeriahi [9] introduced the class $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ of functions $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ satisfying $\int_{\{\varphi > -\infty\}} \omega_\varphi^n = \int_X \omega^n$ (the measure $\omega_\varphi^n = \omega_\varphi \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_\varphi$ is well defined on $\{\varphi > -\infty\}$ for any $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ by [1]). They showed in particular that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ the measure ω_φ^n is well defined on X (with total mass $\int_X \omega^n$), vanishes on pluripolar sets, and is continuous (in the weak* topology) for decreasing sequences in $\mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$.

One of the main results in [9], building on earlier work of Yau [11], Kołodziej [10], and Cegrell [6] was the existence of a solution of the Dirichlet problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega) \\ \omega_\varphi^n = \mu \\ \max_X \varphi = 0, \end{cases}$$

provided that μ is a measure on X vanishing on pluripolar sets and with total mass $\int_X \omega^n$ (which are of course necessary conditions).

The uniqueness in (1) was posed as a problem in [9]. It had been proved in [3] for bounded φ, ψ (for $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ it had been earlier done in [2] with much more complicated methods). As observed in [9] the method from [3] actually gives the following result: if $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^1(X, \omega)$ (where $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega) := \{\psi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega) : \int_X |\psi|^p \omega_\psi^n < \infty\}$, $p > 0$) are such that $\omega_\varphi^n = \omega_\psi^n$ then $\varphi - \psi = \text{const}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32W20, 32Q15.

Key words and phrases. Complex Monge-Ampère equation, Kähler manifolds.

This paper was written during the author stay at the Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden). It was also partially supported by the projects N N201 3679 33 and 189/6 PR EU/2007/7 of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education

The goal of this note is to prove the following improvement:

Theorem 1. *Assume $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$ for some $p > 1 - 2^{1-n}$. If $\omega_\varphi^n = \omega_\psi^n$ then $\varphi - \psi = \text{const.}$*

Let us mention that if $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ are such that $\omega_\varphi^n = \omega_\psi^n =: \mu$ then we must have $\omega_{\max\{\varphi, \psi\}}^n = \mu$ (see [9], Proposition 3.4, we will make use of this result in the proof of Theorem 1) and also $\omega_{t\varphi+(1-t)\psi}^n = \mu$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ (see [8]).

In [4] and [5] the class \mathcal{D} of (germs of) plurisubharmonic functions was defined (it was shown that it is actually the same as the class \mathcal{E} studied in [7]). It is the maximal subclass of the class of plurisubharmonic functions where the complex Monge-Ampère operator $(dd^c)^n$ can be defined (as a regular measure) so that it is continuous for decreasing sequences. It was shown in [4] that $\mathcal{D} = PSH \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}$ for $n = 2$ and it was characterized (similarly, but in a more complicated way) for $n \geq 3$ in [5].

A natural counterpart of the class \mathcal{D} on a compact Kähler manifold is the class $\mathcal{D}(X, \omega)$ consisting of those $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ such that locally $\varphi + g \in \mathcal{D}$, where g is a local potential for ω (that is $\omega = dd^c g$). In particular, for $n = 2$ we get $\mathcal{D}(X, \omega) = PSH(X, \omega) \cap W^{1,2}(X)$ (and \subset for arbitrary n). The measure ω_φ^n is of course well defined for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X, \omega)$. By $\mathcal{D}_a(X, \omega)$ denote the class of those $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X, \omega)$ for which ω_φ^n vanishes on pluripolar sets. It follows that $\mathcal{D}_a(X, \omega) \subset \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ but by Example 2.14 in [9] we don't have the equality in general.

By Lemma 5.14 in [7] the Dirichlet problem (1), where μ is a measure on X vanishing on pluripolar sets and with total mass $\int_X \omega^n$, always has a local solution in \mathcal{D}_a . This is therefore perhaps natural to ask whether it has a global solution belonging to $\mathcal{D}_a(X, \omega)$, which would be an improvement of Theorem A in [9]. However, using Theorem 1 we can show that this is not the case:

Theorem 2. *Let (X, ω) be the projective space \mathbb{P}^n with the Fubini-Study metric. There exists a measure μ on X , vanishing on pluripolar sets and with total mass $\int_X \omega^n$, such that there is no $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega) \cap W^{1,2}(X)$ satisfying $\omega_\varphi^n = \mu$.*

In the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 we will follow the notation from [9] and use various results proved in that article. We always assume that (X, ω) is a fixed Kähler manifold.

The author is grateful to Sławomir Dinew and Sławomir Kołodziej for helpful discussions on this subject.

PROOFS

As the proof in dimension 2 is simpler and more transparent, we first prove Theorem 1 in this case.

Proof of Theorem 1 in dimension 2. If $\tilde{\psi} := \max\{\varphi, \psi\}$ then $\tilde{\psi} \geq \psi$, $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$ (by Lemma 2.3 in [9]), and by Proposition 3.4 in [9] we have $\omega_{\tilde{\psi}}^2 = \omega_\psi^2 = \omega_\varphi^2$. We may thus assume that $\varphi \leq \psi \leq -1$. Then, if we set $\psi^j := \max\{\varphi, \psi - j\}$, we have $\psi - j \leq \psi^j \leq \psi$, $\psi^j \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$, and ψ^j decreases to φ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Without loss of generality we may thus assume that $0 \leq \rho := \psi - \varphi \leq C$; then both φ and ψ belong to $\mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$.

We now set $\varphi_j := \max\{\varphi, -j\}$, $\psi_j := \max\{\psi, -j\}$, $\rho_j := \psi_j - \varphi_j$, and $h_j := (\varphi_j + \psi_j)/2$. First, we claim that

$$(2) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j} = 0.$$

We have

$$\int_X \rho_j (\omega_{\varphi_j}^2 - \omega_{\psi_j}^2) = -2 \int_X \rho_j dd^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j} = 2 \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X \rho_j (\omega_{\varphi_j}^2 - \omega_{\psi_j}^2) \right| &= \left| \int_{\{\varphi \leq -j\}} \rho_j (\omega_{\varphi_j}^2 - \omega_{\psi_j}^2) \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\{\varphi \leq -j\}} \omega_{\varphi_j}^2 + \int_{\{\psi \leq -j\}} \omega_{\psi_j}^2 \right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We thus get (2).

Set $\chi(t) := -\sqrt{-t}$, $t \leq -1$. We want to show the following improvement of (2)

$$(3) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \chi \circ h_j d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j} = 0.$$

Similarly as above we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_X \chi \circ h_j \rho_j (\omega_{\varphi_j}^2 - \omega_{\psi_j}^2) \right| &= \left| \int_{\{\varphi \leq -j\}} \chi \circ h_j \rho_j (\omega_{\varphi_j}^2 - \omega_{\psi_j}^2) \right| \\ &\leq C |\chi(-j)| \left(\int_{\{\varphi \leq -j\}} \omega_{\varphi_j}^2 + \int_{\{\psi \leq -j\}} \omega_{\psi_j}^2 \right) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$ and $p > 1/2$. On the other hand,

$$\int_X \chi \circ h_j \rho_j (\omega_{\varphi_j}^2 - \omega_{\psi_j}^2) = 2 \int_X d(\chi \circ h_j \rho_j) \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j}.$$

By (4) it is enough to estimate, using the Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_X \rho_j \chi' \circ h_j dh_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j} \right| \\ \leq C \sqrt{\int_X \chi' \circ h_j d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j}} \sqrt{\int_X \chi' \circ h_j dh_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge \omega_{h_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that the last integral is bounded in j we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \chi' \circ h_j dh_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge \omega_{h_j} &= - \int_X \chi \circ h_j dd^c h_j \wedge \omega_{h_j} \\ &\leq - \int_X \chi \circ h_j \omega_{h_j}^2 \\ &\leq -4 \int_X \chi \circ \varphi_j \omega_{\varphi_j}^2 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.3 in [9]. Now from (2) (note that $0 \leq \chi' \leq 1$) we thus get (3).

Proceeding as in [3] we write

$$\int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega = \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j} - \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge dd^c h_j,$$

so by (2) it is enough to estimate the last integral. We have

$$- \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge dd^c h_j = \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge dd^c \rho_j = \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge (\omega_{\psi_j} - \omega_{\varphi_j}).$$

By the Schwarz inequality and since $\omega_{\psi_j} \leq 2\omega_{h_j}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge \omega_{\psi_j} \right| \\ & \leq 2 \sqrt{\int_X \frac{1}{\chi' \circ h_j} d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j}} \sqrt{\int_X \chi' \circ h_j dh_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge \omega_{h_j}}. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is bounded in j . In our case we also have $1/\chi' = 2\chi$ and by (3) we get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge \omega_{\psi_j} = 0.$$

Similarly we show that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c h_j \wedge \omega_{\varphi_j} = 0,$$

and thus

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega = 0. \quad \square$$

For the proof of Theorem 1 in arbitrary dimension we will need some preparatory results.

Lemma 3. *For $p > 0$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, and $\varphi \in PSH(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ with $\varphi \leq -1$ we have*

$$(5) \quad \int_X (-\varphi)^p \omega_\varphi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^k \leq \int_X (-\varphi)^p \omega_\varphi^n$$

and

$$(6) \quad \int_X (-\varphi)^{p-1} d\varphi \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge \omega_\varphi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_X (-\varphi)^p \omega_\varphi^n.$$

Proof. Set $T := \omega_\varphi^{n-k} \wedge \omega^{k-1}$. Then

$$\int_X (-\varphi)^{p-1} d\varphi \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge T = -\frac{1}{p} \int_X d((-\varphi)^p) \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge T = \frac{1}{p} \int_X (-\varphi)^p dd^c \varphi \wedge T.$$

Therefore the last integral is nonnegative and thus

$$\int_X (-\varphi)^p \omega \wedge T \leq \int_X (-\varphi)^p \omega_\varphi \wedge T,$$

so by induction on k we get (5). We also obtain

$$\int_X (-\varphi)^{p-1} d\varphi \wedge d^c \varphi \wedge T \leq \frac{1}{p} \int_X (-\varphi)^p \omega_\varphi \wedge T$$

which, by virtue of (5), gives (6). \square

Lemma 4. For $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ set $p_k := 1 - 2^{-k}$. Assume that $\varphi, \psi \in \text{PSH}(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$ are ≤ -1 and denote $\rho := \psi - \varphi$, $h := (\varphi + \psi)/2$. Then for $p \geq p_{n-1}$

$$\int_X (-h)^{p-p_k} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge \omega_h^{n-1-k} \wedge \omega^k \leq C \left(\int_X (-h)^p d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge \omega_h^{n-1} \right)^{2^{-k}},$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n and on upper bounds for $\int_X (-h)^p \omega_h^n$ and $\int_X (-h)^p d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge \omega_h^{n-1}$.

Proof. We use induction on k . For $k = 0$ there is nothing to prove and we assume the estimate holds for $k-1$. We may write the left-hand side as

$$\int_X (-h)^{p-p_k} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge \omega_h \wedge T - \int_X (-h)^{p-p_k} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge dd^c h \wedge T,$$

where $T = \omega_h^{n-1-k} \wedge \omega^{k-1}$. The first integral is now estimated by the inductive assumption (and since $h \leq -1$), so it is enough to bound the second term from above. Note that for $q \geq 0$ we have

$$-(-h)^q dd^c h = \frac{1}{q+1} dd^c((-h)^{q+1}) - q(-h)^{q-1} dh \wedge d^c h \leq \frac{1}{q+1} dd^c((-h)^{q+1}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_X (-h)^{p-p_k} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge dd^c h \wedge T \\ & \leq \frac{1}{p-p_k+1} \int_X d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge dd^c((-h)^{p-p_k+1}) \wedge T \\ & = -\frac{1}{p-p_k+1} \int_X d((-h)^{p-p_k+1}) \wedge d^c \rho \wedge dd^c \rho \wedge T \\ & = \int_X (-h)^{p-p_k} dh \wedge d^c \rho \wedge (\omega_\psi - \omega_\varphi) \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega_\psi \leq 2\omega_h$, by the Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_X (-h)^{p-p_k} dh \wedge d^c \rho \wedge \omega_\psi \wedge T \right| \\ & \leq 2 \sqrt{\int_X (-h)^{p-1} dh \wedge d^c h \wedge \omega_h \wedge T} \sqrt{\int_X (-h)^{p-p_k-1} d\rho \wedge d^c \rho \wedge \omega_h \wedge T}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can deal with the term involving ω_φ and the required estimate follows from Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 4 easily gives Theorem 1:

Proof of Theorem 1 for arbitrary n . Using the same notation as previously we can similarly as in the proof of (3) show that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (-h_j)^{1-2^{1-n}} d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega_{h_j}^{n-1} = 0.$$

Lemma 4 applied for $k = n - 1$, together with Lemma 2.3 in [9], now give

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_X d\rho_j \wedge d^c \rho_j \wedge \omega^{n-1} = 0. \quad \square$$

For the proof of Theorem 2 we will need the following quantitative version of Example 2.14 in [9]:

Proposition 5. *Assume that $\psi \in PSH(X, \omega)$ is negative and $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then $-(-\psi)^\alpha \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$ for $p < (1 - \alpha)/\alpha$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\psi \leq -1$. Set $\varphi := -(-\psi)^\alpha$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\varphi &= \alpha(1 - \alpha)|\psi|^{\alpha-2} d\psi \wedge d^c \psi + \alpha|\psi|^{\alpha-1} \omega_\psi + (1 - \alpha|\psi|^{\alpha-1})\omega \\ &\leq \alpha(1 - \alpha)|\psi|^{\alpha-2} d\psi \wedge d^c \psi + \alpha|\psi|^{\alpha-1} \omega_\psi + \omega \end{aligned}$$

and (for bounded ψ)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\varphi^n / C &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\psi|^{\alpha-2+k(\alpha-1)} d\psi \wedge d^c \psi \wedge \omega_\psi^k \wedge \omega^{n-1-k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=1}^n |\psi|^{l(\alpha-1)} \omega_\psi^l \wedge \omega^{n-l} + \omega^n, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant depending on α and n . For $a > 0$ and $T = \omega_\psi^k \wedge \omega^{n-1-k}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (-\psi)^{-a-1} d\psi \wedge d^c \psi \wedge T &= \frac{1}{a} \int_X d(-\psi)^{-a} \wedge d^c \psi \wedge T \\ &= -\frac{1}{a} \int_X (-\psi)^{-a} dd^c \psi \wedge T \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \int_X (-\psi)^{-a} \omega \wedge T \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a} \int_X \omega \wedge T \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \int_X \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $b < 1 - \alpha$ we obtain

$$\int_X |\psi|^b \omega_\varphi^n \leq C(n, \alpha, b) \left(1 + \int_X |\psi|^b \omega^n \right)$$

and approximating arbitrary ψ by $\max\{\psi, -j\}$ the proposition follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ set

$$\psi(z) := \log |z_1| - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + |z|^2) - 1.$$

It can be extended to a function from $PSH(X, \omega)$. Then $\tilde{\psi} := -\sqrt{-\psi} \notin W^{1,2}(X)$ and by Proposition 5 $\tilde{\psi} \in \mathcal{E}^p(X, \omega)$ for $p < 1$. Using Theorem 1 we then conclude that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}(X, \omega)$ satisfying $\omega_\varphi^n = \omega_{\tilde{\psi}}^n$ we have $\varphi = \tilde{\psi} + \text{const} \notin W^{1,2}(X)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bedford, B.A. Taylor, *Fine topology, Šilov boundary, and $(dd^c)^n$* , J. Funct. Anal. **72** (1987), 225-251.
- [2] E. Bedford, B.A. Taylor, *Uniqueness for the complex Monge-Ampère equation for functions of logarithmic growth*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), 455-469.
- [3] Z. Błocki, *Uniqueness and stability for the Monge-Ampère equation on compact Kähler manifolds*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **52** (2003), 1697-1702.
- [4] Z. Błocki, *On the definition of the Monge-Ampère operator in \mathbb{C}^2* , Math. Ann. **328** (2004), 415-423.
- [5] Z. Błocki, *The domain of definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Amer. J. Math. **128** (2006), 519-530.
- [6] U. Cegrell, *Pluricomplex energy*, Acta Math. **180** (1998), 187-217.
- [7] U. Cegrell, *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **54** (2004), 159-179.
- [8] S. Dinew, *An inequality for mixed Monge-Ampère measures*, preprint, 2007.
- [9] V. Guedj, A. Zeriahi, *The weighted Monge-Ampère energy of quasisubharmonic functions*, J. Funct. Anal. **443** (2007), 442-482.
- [10] S. Kołodziej, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation*, Acta Math. **180** (1998), 69-117.
- [11] S.-T. Yau, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **31** (1978), 339-411.

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REYMONTA 4, 30-059 KRAKÓW,
POLAND

E-mail address: Zbigniew.Blocki@im.uj.edu.pl