

# REMARK ON THE DEFINITION OF THE COMPLEX MONGE-AMPÈRE OPERATOR

ZBIGNIEW BŁOCKI

*Dedicated to Vyacheslav P. Zakharyuta on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

ABSTRACT. We show that if the function  $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing, convex, and satisfies  $\int_{-\infty}^{-1} (-\chi(t))^{n-2} (\chi'(t))^2 dt < \infty$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , then for any plurisubharmonic  $u$  the complex Monge-Ampère operator  $(dd^c)^n$  is well defined for the plurisubharmonic function  $\chi \circ u$ . The condition on  $\chi$  is optimal.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [2] and [3] the domain of definition  $\mathcal{D}$  for the complex Monge-Ampère operator  $(dd^c)^n$  was defined as follows: we say that a plurisubharmonic function  $u$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}$  if there is a regular measure  $\mu$  such that for any sequence  $u_j$  of smooth plurisubharmonic functions decreasing to  $u$  the Monge-Ampère measures  $(dd^c u_j)^n$  converge weakly to  $\mu$ . (In this definition we consider germs of functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , so that the approximating sequence  $u_j$  may be defined on a smaller domain than  $\mu$  is.) It was for example shown in [2], [3] that if  $\mathcal{D} \ni u \leq v \in PSH$  then  $v \in \mathcal{D}$ , and that for  $n = 2$  we have  $\mathcal{D} = PSH \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}$ .

In this note we show the following result (we always assume  $n \geq 2$ ):

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is increasing, convex, and such that*

$$(1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{-1} (-\chi(t))^{n-2} (\chi'(t))^2 dt < \infty.$$

*Then for any plurisubharmonic  $u$  we have  $\chi \circ u \in \mathcal{D}$ .*

The assumptions in Theorem 1 are for example satisfied for the function  $\chi(t) = -(-t)^\alpha$  (for  $t \leq -1$ ), where  $0 < \alpha < 1/n$ . As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we thus obtain the following property of pluripolar sets (compare with Theorem 5.8 in [4]):

**Corollary.** *If  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is pluripolar then  $E \subset \{u = -\infty\}$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .*

The main tool in the proof will be the following characterization of the class  $\mathcal{D}$  (see [3]): for a negative plurisubharmonic function  $u$  we have  $u \in \mathcal{D}$  if and only

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32W20, 32U05.

*Key words and phrases.* Complex Monge-Ampère operator, plurisubharmonic functions.

This paper was written during the author stay at the Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden). It was also partially supported by the projects N N201 3679 33 and 189/6 PR EU/2007/7 of the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education

if there exists a sequence (or equivalently: for every sequence)  $u_j \in PSH \cap C^\infty$  decreasing to  $u$  the sequences

$$(2) \quad (-u_j)^{n-2-k} du_j \wedge d^c u_j \wedge (dd^c u_j)^k \wedge \omega^{n-1-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2,$$

are locally uniformly weakly bounded (here  $\omega := dd^c |z|^2$ ).

It follows easily from (2) that (1) is an optimal condition: if  $\chi(\log |z_1|) \in \mathcal{D}$  then by (2) for  $k = 0$  we have

$$\int_{\{|\zeta| < \varepsilon\}} \frac{(-\chi(\log |\zeta|))^{n-2} (\chi'(\log |\zeta|))^2}{|\zeta|^2} d\lambda(\zeta) < \infty,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\log \varepsilon} (-\chi(t))^{n-2} (\chi'(t))^2 dt < \infty.$$

A result related to Theorem 1 has been proved by Bedford and Taylor (see [1], p.66-69). They namely showed the following:

**Theorem 2.** *Assume that  $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is decreasing and such that*

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\phi(x)}{x} dx < \infty.$$

*Let  $v$  be a plurisubharmonic function such that for some negative plurisubharmonic  $u$  we have  $-(-u \phi \circ u)^{1/n} \leq v$ . Then  $v \in \mathcal{D}$ .*

We will now show how Theorem 1 implies Theorem 2. Set

$$\gamma(t) := -\frac{1}{2} \int_t^0 \sqrt{\frac{\phi(-s)}{-s}} ds, \quad t \leq 0.$$

Then

$$\gamma'(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\phi(-t)}{-t}}$$

and thus  $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_-$  is convex and increasing. Moreover,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( -(-t\phi(-t))^{1/2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -(-t\phi(-t))^{1/2} \right)^{-1/2} (\phi(-t) - t\phi'(-t)) \leq \gamma'(t).$$

Therefore  $\gamma(t) \leq -(-t\phi(-t))^{1/2}$ ,  $t \leq 0$ . Thus

$$\chi(t) := -(-\gamma(t))^{2/n} \leq -(-t\phi(-t))^{1/n},$$

$\chi : \mathbb{R}_- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_-$  is convex and increasing, and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-1} (-\chi(t))^{n-2} (\chi'(t))^2 dt = \frac{4}{n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{-1} (\gamma'(t))^2 dt < \infty.$$

By Theorem 1 we have  $\chi \circ u \in \mathcal{D}$  and it is now enough to apply Theorem 1.2 in [3].

The author would like to thank the organizers of the *Complex Analysis and Functional Analysis* conference held at Sabancı University in Istanbul in September 2007 for the invitation. He is also grateful to Azim Sadullaev for his interest in the problem.

## PROOF

Theorem 1 will be proved by successive application of the following estimates:

**Lemma.** *Let  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be continuous and such that  $\int_{-\infty}^0 \gamma(t) dt < \infty$ . Set*

$$f(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t \gamma(s) ds, \quad g(t) := \int_t^0 f(s) ds, \quad t < 0,$$

so that  $f, g \geq 0$ ,  $f' = \gamma$ ,  $g' = -f$ . Assume that  $K \Subset \Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $T, S$  be closed positive currents in  $\Omega$  of bidegree, respectively,  $(n-1, n-1)$  and  $(n-2, n-2)$ . Then for any negative  $u \in PSH \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$  we have

$$(3) \quad \int_K \gamma \circ u du \wedge d^c u \wedge T \leq C_1 \int_\Omega g \circ u \omega \wedge T,$$

$$(4) \quad \int_K \gamma \circ u du \wedge d^c u \wedge dd^c u \wedge S \leq C_2 \int_\Omega f \circ u du \wedge d^c u \wedge \omega \wedge S,$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are positive constants depending only on  $K$  and  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be a nonnegative test function in  $\Omega$  with  $\varphi = 1$  on  $K$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \gamma \circ u du \wedge d^c u \wedge T &\leq \int_\Omega \varphi \gamma \circ u du \wedge d^c u \wedge T \\ &= \int_\Omega \varphi d(f \circ u) \wedge d^c u \wedge T \\ &= - \int_\Omega \varphi f \circ u dd^c u \wedge T - \int_\Omega f \circ u d\varphi \wedge d^c u \wedge T \\ &\leq - \int_\Omega f \circ u d\varphi \wedge d^c u \wedge T \\ &= \int_\Omega d\varphi \wedge d^c(g \circ u) \wedge T \\ &= - \int_\Omega g \circ u dd^c \varphi \wedge T \\ &\leq C_1 \int_\Omega g \circ u \omega \wedge T. \end{aligned}$$

To show (4) we start the same way:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \gamma \circ u du \wedge d^c u \wedge dd^c u \wedge S &\leq - \int_\Omega g \circ u dd^c \varphi \wedge dd^c u \wedge S \\ &= - \int_\Omega f \circ u du \wedge d^c u \wedge dd^c \varphi \wedge S \\ &\leq C_2 \int_\Omega f \circ u du \wedge d^c u \wedge \omega \wedge S. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $u \leq -1$  and  $\chi(0) = 0$  (because subtracting a constant from  $\chi$  does not change (1)). For  $k =$

$0, 1, \dots, n-2$  we set  $\gamma_k := (-\chi)^{n-2-k}(\chi')^{k+2}$ . Our goal is to show that for  $K \Subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $u \in PSH \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $u \leq -1$ , the following estimate holds

$$(5) \quad \int_K \gamma_k \circ u \, du \wedge d^c u \wedge (dd^c u)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k-1} \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \gamma_0(t) dt \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)},$$

where  $C$  is a positive constant depending only on  $K$  and  $\Omega$ . In view of (2) this will finish the proof.

By  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the class of those  $\gamma$  that satisfy the assumptions of Lemma, that is  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is continuous and  $\int_{-\infty}^{-1} \gamma(t) dt < \infty$ . For  $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}$  we also define

$$(F\gamma)(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t \gamma(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

and  $F^l \gamma := F \dots F \gamma$ . Note that since  $\chi'(s) \leq \chi(s)/s$  for  $s < 0$ , we have  $\gamma_k \in \mathcal{F}$  by (1). We claim that  $F\gamma_k \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $k \geq 1$ . For  $a < 0$  by the Fubini theorem we have

$$(F^2 \gamma_k)(a) = \int_{-\infty}^a \int_{-\infty}^t \gamma_k(s) ds dt = \int_{-\infty}^a \int_s^a \gamma_k(s) dt ds \leq - \int_{-\infty}^a s \gamma_k(s) ds.$$

Hence it follows that for  $k = 1, \dots, n-2$

$$F^2 \gamma_k \leq F \gamma_{k-1} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_-.$$

This implies that  $F^l \gamma_k \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, k+1$ , and

$$(6) \quad F^{k+1} \gamma_k \leq (F \gamma_0)(-1) = \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \gamma_0(t) dt \quad \text{on } (-\infty, -1].$$

Using (4)  $k$  times we will get

$$\int_K \gamma_k \circ u \, du \wedge d^c u \wedge (dd^c u)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k-1} \leq C(K, \Omega') \int_{\Omega'} (F^k \gamma_k) \circ u \, du \wedge d^c u \wedge \omega^{n-1},$$

where  $K \Subset \Omega' \Subset \Omega$ . Now set

$$g(t) := \int_t^0 (F^{k+1} \gamma_k)(s) ds, \quad t < 0.$$

Then by (3)

$$\int_K \gamma_k \circ u \, du \wedge d^c u \wedge (dd^c u)^k \wedge \omega^{n-k-1} \leq C(K, \Omega) \int_{\Omega} g \circ u \, \omega^n,$$

and by (6)

$$g(t) \leq |t| \int_{-\infty}^{-1} \gamma_0(s) ds, \quad t < 0.$$

We thus obtain (5).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bedford, *Survey of pluri-potential theory*, Several Complex Variables, Proceedings of the Mittag-Leffler Institute 1987-88, J.E. Fornæss (ed.), Princeton University Press, 1993, pp. 48-97.
- [2] Z. Błocki, *On the definition of the Monge-Ampère operator in  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Math. Ann. **328** (2004), 415-423.
- [3] Z. Błocki, *The domain of definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Amer. J. Math. **128** (2006), 519-530.
- [4] U. Cegrell, *The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **54** (2004), 159-179.

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REYMONTA 4, 30-059 KRAKÓW,  
POLAND

*E-mail address:* Zbigniew.Blocki@im.uj.edu.pl