Ohsawa-Takegoshi Extension Theorem and Applications

> Zbigniew Błocki (Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland)

http://gamma.im.uj.edu.pl/~blocki

Institute of Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing, 31 October 2008 Theorem (Ohsawa-Takegoshi, 1987). $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ bounded, pseudoconvex, $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega)$, H hyperplane in \mathbb{C}^n , $\Omega' := \Omega \cap H$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega')$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \ F \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \text{ s.th. } F|_{\Omega'} = f \text{ and}$$
$$\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq C(n, \operatorname{diam} \Omega) \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda'.$$

Original proof: L^2 -theory of $\overline{\partial}$ -equation on complete Kähler manifolds + commutator identities (in the spirit of Bochner, Kodaira, Nakano...).

Berndtsson (1996): proof without employing any Kähler metrics; if $H = \{z_1 = 0\}$ and $\Omega \subset \{|z_1| < 1\}$ then $C = 4\pi$.

Bergman kernel

$$K_{\Omega} := \sup\{|f|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 d\lambda \le 1\}$$

(on the diagonal)

O-T implies that

$$K_{\Omega'} \leq CK_{\Omega}$$
 on Ω' .

Corollary (original motivation behind O-T). Ω bounded, psedoconvex, with C^2 boundary. Then

$$K_\Omega(z) \geq \frac{1}{C({\rm dist}\,(z,\partial\Omega))^2}, \quad z\in\Omega,$$
 for some $C=C(\Omega)>0.$

$$\varphi \in PSH(\Omega)$$
, i.e. $\left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}\right) \ge 0$

Lelong number of φ at z_0 :

$$\nu_{\varphi}(z_0) = \lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{\varphi(z)}{\log |z - z_0|} = \lim_{r \to 0^+} \frac{\varphi^r(z_0)}{\log r},$$

where for $\boldsymbol{r}>\boldsymbol{0}$

(

$$arphi^r(z) := \max_{\overline{B}(z,r)} arphi$$
 $z \in \Omega_r := \{z \in \Omega : \mathsf{dist} (z, \partial \Omega) > r\}).$

One can show that φ^r is a plurisubharmonic continuous function in Ω_r , decreasing to φ as r decreases to 0.

Demailly Approximation

Theorem (Demailly, 1992). $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega)$,

$$\varphi_m := \frac{1}{2m} \log K_{\Omega, e^{-2m\varphi}}, \quad m = 1, 2 \dots,$$

where

$$K_{\Omega,e^{-2m\varphi}} = \sup\{|f|^2 : f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), \ \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-2m\varphi} \le 1\}.$$

 $\Rightarrow \exists C_1, C_2 > 0 \text{ depending only on } \Omega \text{ s.th.}$ $\varphi - \frac{C_1}{m} \leq \varphi_m \leq \varphi^r + \frac{1}{m} \log \frac{C_2}{r^n} \quad \text{in } \Omega_r.$

In particular, $\varphi_m \to \varphi$ pointwise and in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$. Moreover,

$$u_{\varphi} - \frac{n}{m} \le \nu_{\varphi_m} \le \nu_{\varphi} \quad \text{ in } \ \Omega.$$

Proof: For a fixed $z \in \Omega$, by O-T (extension from a single point to Ω) one can find $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ s.th.

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^2 e^{-2m\varphi} d\lambda \le C |f(z)|^2 e^{-2m\varphi(z)} = 1.$$

Thus

•

$$\varphi_m(z) \ge \frac{1}{m} \log |f(z)| = \varphi(z) - \frac{1}{2m} \log C$$

and $\varphi - \frac{C}{m} \le \varphi_m$. Therefore
 $\nu_{\varphi_m} \le \nu_{\varphi - C/m} = \nu_{\varphi}$.

Other inequalities are elementary (they follow from the Poisson representation).

Demailly's result easily implies

Siu's Theorem (1974). $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ $\Rightarrow \{\nu_{\varphi} \geq c\}$ is an analytic subset of Ω .

Proof (Demailly, 1992):
$$\{\nu_{\varphi} \ge c\} = \bigcap_{m} \{\nu_{\varphi_{m}} \ge c - \frac{n}{m}\}.$$

The approximating functions φ_m have only analytic singularities: locally they are of the form

$$\varphi_m = \log(|g_1|^2 + \dots + |g_k|^2) + u,$$

where g_1, \ldots, g_k are holomorphic and u is C^{∞} -smooth. Therefore the sets $\{\nu_{\varphi_m} \ge c - \frac{n}{m}\}$ are analytic.

Siu's theorem is thus a rather simple consequence of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem.

Theorem (Demailly-Peternell-Schneider, 2001) $\exists C = C(\Omega) > 0 \text{ s.th.}$ $(m_1 + m_2)\varphi_{m_1+m_2} \leq C + m_1\varphi_{m_1} + m_2\varphi_{m_2}.$

Proof: O-T from diagonal of $\Omega \times \Omega$ to $\Omega \times \Omega$.

Corollary. The (sub)sequence $\varphi_{2^k} + C/2^{k+1}$ is decreasing.

Open problem: Is the whole sequence φ_m (possibly modified by constants decreasing to 0) decreasing?

D bounded in \mathbb{C} (n = 1!)Logarithmic capacity of *D* w.r.t. $z \in D$: $c_{\mathcal{D}}(z) := \exp \lim_{z \to \infty} (G_{\mathcal{D}}(\zeta, z) - \log |\zeta - z|)$

$$c_D(z) := \exp \lim_{\zeta \to z} (G_D(\zeta, z) - \log |\zeta - z|),$$

where G_D is the (negative) Green function of D.

Suita Conjecture (1972): $c_D^2 \leq \pi K_D$

"=" if D is simply connected, "<" if D is an annulus (Suita)

Suita also showed that $\pi K_{\Omega} = \psi_{z\bar{z}}$, where $\psi := \log c_{\Omega}$ (Robin function). Thus

$$\mathsf{SC} \ \Leftrightarrow \ e^{2\psi} \le \psi_{z\bar{z}} \ \Leftrightarrow \ K_{e^{\psi}|dz|} \le -4$$

One may assume that D has smooth boundary. Then $K_{e^\psi|dz|}=-4$ on $\partial D.$ Does $K_{e^\psi|dz|}$ satisfy the maximum principle in D?

$$\mathsf{SC} \,\, \Leftrightarrow \,\, \forall \, z \in D \,\, \exists f \in \mathcal{O}(D) : f(z) = 1, \,\, \int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{c_D(z)^2}$$

Theorem (Ohsawa, 1995). $c_D^2 \leq 750\pi K_D$ Proof: Methods of the original proof of O-T (L^2 -theory, commutator identities on Kähler manifolds, etc.)

Theorem (B., 2007). $c_D^2 \leq 2\pi K_D$ Proof: $0 \in D$, $G := G_D(\cdot, 0)$ $\varphi := 2(\log |z| - G)$ φ is harmonic in D, $c_D(0)^2 = e^{-\varphi(0)}$ We will use the notation $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$, $\bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$.

$$\begin{split} \bar{\partial}^* \alpha &= -e^{\varphi} \partial (e^{-\varphi} \alpha) = -\partial \alpha + \alpha \partial \varphi, \\ \Box \alpha &= -\bar{\partial}^* \, \bar{\partial} \alpha = \partial \bar{\partial} \alpha - \partial \varphi \bar{\partial} \alpha. \\ \exists! \; N \in C^{\infty}(\overline{D} \setminus \{0\}) \cap L^1(D) \; \text{s.th.} \\ \Box N &= \frac{\pi}{2} e^{\varphi(0)} \delta_0, \quad N = 0 \; \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{split}$$

One can show that

$$\bar{\partial}(e^{-\varphi}\partial\bar{N}) = \frac{\pi}{2}\delta_0,$$

thus

$$f := ze^{-\varphi}\partial \overline{N} \in \mathcal{O}(D), \quad f(0) = 1/2.$$

 \square

One can show that $\int_D |f|^2 d\lambda \leq \frac{\pi}{2} e^{\varphi(0)} = \frac{\pi}{2} c_D(0)^{-2}$. (Main tool (Berndtsson, 1992): $|N|^2 \leq e^{\varphi + \varphi(0)} G^2$.)

No L^2 -theory, only PDE's!

One can combine O-T and Ohsawa's inequality in one result:

Theorem (Ohsawa, 2001, Z.Dinew, 2007). D bounded domain in \mathbb{C} , $0 \in D$, $\Omega \subset D \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ pseudoconvex, $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega)$, $H := \{z_1 = 0\}, \ \Omega' := \Omega \cap H$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega')$ $\Rightarrow \exists F \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \text{ s.th. } F|_{\Omega'} = f \text{ and}$ $\int_{\Omega} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda \leq \frac{4\pi}{c_D(0)^2} \int_{\Omega'} |f|^2 e^{-\varphi} d\lambda'.$

Suita Conjecture in SCV: Can one replace 4π with π in the above estimate?

Can one avoid the L^2 -theory in the proof of O-T?