Local Regularity of the Monge-Ampère Equation

Zbigniew Błocki (Jagiellonian University, Kraków, Poland)

http://gamma.im.uj.edu.pl/~blocki

Reykjavik, August 23, 2010

We are interested in local regularity of

$$\det(u_{x_i x_j}) = f > 0$$

(for convex solutions in domains in
$$\mathbb{R}^n$$

(CMA) $\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_i}) = f > 0$

(for convex solutions in domains in \mathbb{R}^n) and $\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_i}) = f > 0$

(for continuous psh solutions in domains in \mathbb{C}^n).

We are interested in local regularity of $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left$

$$(RMA) det(u_{x_i x_j}) = f > 0$$

(for convex solutions in domains in \mathbb{R}^n) and

(CMA)
$$\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j}) = f > 0$$
 (for continuous psh solutions in domains in \mathbb{C}^n).

Remark. 1. In a way (RMA) is a special case of (CMA).

We are interested in local regularity of

$$(RMA) det(u_{x_i x_j}) = f > 0$$

(for convex solutions in domains in \mathbb{R}^n) and

 $\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j}) = f > 0$ (for continuous psh solutions in domains in \mathbb{C}^n).

Remark. 1. In a way (RMA) is a special case of (CMA). 2. Makes no sense to allow $f \ge 0$. We are interested in local regularity of

$$(RMA) det(u_{x_i x_j}) = f > 0$$

(for convex solutions in domains in \mathbb{R}^n) and

$$\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j}) = f > 0$$
 (for continuous psh solutions in domains in \mathbb{C}^n).

Remark. 1. In a way (RMA) is a special case of (CMA). 2. Makes no sense to allow $f \geq 0$.

Natural question:
$$f \in C^{\infty} \implies u \in C^{\infty}$$
?

Example (Pogorelov, 1971). $u(x) = (x_1^2 + 1)|x'|^{2\beta}$, $\beta \ge 0$, where $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

Example (Pogorelov, 1971). $u(x)=(x_1^2+1)|x'|^{2\beta}$, $\beta\geq 0$, where $x'=(x_2,\ldots,x_n)$. Then

$$\det(u_{x_i x_j}) = c(1+x_1^2)^{n-2} [(2\beta-1)-(2\beta+1)x_1^2] |x'|^{2(\beta n+1-n)}.$$

Example (Pogorelov, 1971). $u(x)=(x_1^2+1)|x'|^{2\beta}$, $\beta\geq 0$, where $x'=(x_2,\ldots,x_n)$. Then

$$\det(u_{x_i x_j}) = c(1+x_1^2)^{n-2} [(2\beta-1)-(2\beta+1)x_1^2] |x'|^{2(\beta n+1-n)}.$$

 \bullet u is convex near the origin iff $\beta>1/2$

Example (Pogorelov, 1971).
$$u(x)=(x_1^2+1)|x'|^{2\beta}$$
, $\beta\geq 0$, where $x'=(x_2,\ldots,x_n)$. Then

$$\det(u_{x_i x_j}) = c(1+x_1^2)^{n-2} [(2\beta-1)-(2\beta+1)x_1^2] |x'|^{2(\beta n+1-n)}.$$

- ullet u is convex near the origin iff eta>1/2
- $\det(u_{x_ix_j})$ is smooth and > 0 iff $\beta = 1 1/n$.

Example (Pogorelov, 1971). $u(x)=(x_1^2+1)|x'|^{2\beta}$, $\beta\geq 0$, where $x'=(x_2,\dots,x_n)$. Then

$$\det(u_{x_i x_j}) = c(1+x_1^2)^{n-2} [(2\beta-1)-(2\beta+1)x_1^2] |x'|^{2(\beta n+1-n)}.$$

- u is convex near the origin iff $\beta > 1/2$ • $\det(u)$ is smooth and 0 iff $\beta = 1 - 1/n$
- $\det(u_{x_ix_j})$ is smooth and > 0 iff $\beta = 1 1/n$.

Example only works for $n \geq 3!!!!$

Example (Pogorelov, 1971). $u(x)=(x_1^2+1)|x'|^{2\beta}$, $\beta\geq 0$, where $x'=(x_2,\dots,x_n)$. Then

$$\det(u_{x_i x_j}) = c(1+x_1^2)^{n-2} [(2\beta-1)-(2\beta+1)x_1^2] |x'|^{2(\beta n+1-n)}.$$

- u is convex near the origin iff $\beta > 1/2$ • $\det(u)$ is smooth and 0 iff $\beta = 1 - 1/n$
- $\det(u_{x_ix_j})$ is smooth and > 0 iff $\beta = 1 1/n$.

Example only works for $n \geq 3!!!!$

Theorem. $n=2, f \in C^{\infty} \Rightarrow u \in C^{\infty}$

Example (Pogorelov, 1971). $u(x)=(x_1^2+1)|x'|^{2\beta}$, $\beta \geq 0$, where $x'=(x_2,\ldots,x_n)$. Then

$$\det(u_{x_ix_j}) = c(1+x_1^2)^{n-2} \left[(2\beta-1) - (2\beta+1)x_1^2 \right] |x'|^{2(\beta n+1-n)}.$$

- ullet u is convex near the origin iff eta>1/2
- $\det(u_{x_ix_i})$ is smooth and > 0 iff $\beta = 1 1/n$.

Example only works for $n \ge 3!!!!$

Theorem.
$$n=2, f \in C^{\infty} \Rightarrow u \in C^{\infty}$$

Theorem (Aleksandrov, 1942).

 $n=2, \det(u_{x_ix_i}) \ge c > 0 \Rightarrow u$ is strictly convex

Example (Pogorelov, 1971). $u(x)=(x_1^2+1)|x'|^{2\beta}$, $\beta \geq 0$, where $x'=(x_2,\ldots,x_n)$. Then

$$\det(u_{x_ix_j}) = c(1+x_1^2)^{n-2} [(2\beta-1)-(2\beta+1)x_1^2] |x'|^{2(\beta n+1-n)}.$$

- u is convex near the origin iff $\beta > 1/2$
- $\det(u_{x_ix_j})$ is smooth and > 0 iff $\beta = 1 1/n$.

Example only works for $n \geq 3!!!!$

Theorem.
$$n=2, f \in C^{\infty} \Rightarrow u \in C^{\infty}$$

Theorem (Aleksandrov, 1942).

 $n=2, \ \det(u_{x_ix_j}) \ge c > 0 \ \Rightarrow \ u \ \text{is strictly convex}$

Theorem (Pogorelov, 1971).

$$\Omega \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega, \ f \in C^\infty(\Omega) \ \Rightarrow \ u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$$

Coming back to Pogorelov's example $(n \ge 3)$:

Coming back to Pogorelov's example
$$(n \ge 1)$$

 $u = (x_1^2 + 1)|x'|^{2(1-1/n)},$

so that $f = c(1 + x_1^2)^{n-2}$.

Coming back to Pogorelov's example (n > 3): $u = (x_1^2 + 1)|x'|^{2(1-1/n)},$

$$u = (x_1^2 + 1)|x'|^{2(1 - 1/n)},$$
 so that $f = c(1 + x_1^2)^{n - 2}$. Then

and

$$u=(x_1^2+1)|x'|^{2(1-1/n)},$$
 so that $f=c(1+x_1^2)^{n-2}$. Then
$$u\in W_{loc}^{2,p} \iff p<\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$$

 $u \in C^{1,\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \alpha \le 1 - \frac{2}{\pi}$.

Coming back to Pogorelov's example (n > 3):

$$u = (x_1^2 + 1)|x'|^{2(1 - 1/n)},$$

so that $f = c(1 + x_1^2)^{n-2}$. Then

$$u \in W_{loc}^{2,p} \Leftrightarrow p < \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$$

 $u \in C^{1,\alpha} \iff \alpha \le 1 - \frac{2}{-}.$

and

- Theorem (Urbas, 1988). If $n \ge 3$ and
- either $u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}$ for some p > n(n-1)/2 \bullet or $u \in C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 1 - 2/n$ then

$$f \in C^{\infty} \implies u \in C^{\infty}.$$

Example. $u(z) = (1 + |z_1|^2)|z'|^{2(1-1/n)}$ is psh in \mathbb{C}^n ,

Example.
$$u(z)=(1+|z_1|^2)|z'|^{2(1-1/n)}$$
 is psh in \mathbb{C}^n ,
$$\det(u_{z,\bar{z}_i})=c(1+|z_1|^2)^{n-2}.$$

Example.
$$u(z)=(1+|z_1|^2)|z'|^{2(1-1/n)}$$
 is psh in \mathbb{C}^n ,
$$\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j})=c(1+|z_1|^2)^{n-2}.$$

In particular, $u(z_1,z_2)=2(1+|z_1|^2)|z_2|$ satisfies $\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j})=1.$

Example.
$$u(z)=(1+|z_1|^2)|z'|^{2(1-1/n)}$$
 is psh in \mathbb{C}^n ,
$$\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j})=c(1+|z_1|^2)^{n-2}.$$

In particular, $u(z_1,z_2)=2(1+|z_1|^2)|z_2|$ satisfies $\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j})=1.$

No two-dimensional phenomenon in the complex case!

Example.
$$u(z) = (1 + |z_1|^2)|z'|^{2(1-1/n)}$$
 is psh in \mathbb{C}^n ,
$$\det(u_{z,\bar{z}_i}) = c(1 + |z_1|^2)^{n-2}.$$

In particular, $u(z_1,z_2)=2(1+|z_1|^2)|z_2|$ satisfies $\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_i})=1.$

No two-dimensional phenomenon in the complex case!

$$u \in W_{loc}^{2,p} \Leftrightarrow p < n(n-1), \quad u \in C^{1,\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \alpha \le 1 - \frac{2}{n}.$$

Example.
$$u(z)=(1+|z_1|^2)|z'|^{2(1-1/n)}$$
 is psh in \mathbb{C}^n ,
$$\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j})=c(1+|z_1|^2)^{n-2}.$$

In particular, $u(z_1,z_2)=2(1+|z_1|^2)|z_2|$ satisfies $\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j})=1.$

No two-dimensional phenomenon in the complex case!

$$u \in W_{loc}^{2,p} \Leftrightarrow p < n(n-1), \quad u \in C^{1,\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \alpha \le 1 - \frac{2}{n}.$$

Theorem (B.-S. Dinew). If $u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}$ for some p > n(n-1) then $f \in C^{\infty} \implies u \in C^{\infty}.$

More precisely we have

Theorem. Assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$,

$$u \in PSH \cap W^{2,p}(\Omega)$$
 for some $p > n(n-1)$ solves

where
$$f\in C^{1,1}(\Omega).$$
 Then $\Delta u\in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega)$ and for $\Omega'\subset\subset\Omega$

where $f \in C^{1,1}(\Omega)$.

 $||\Delta u||_{L^p(\Omega)}$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\Omega',\partial\Omega)$.

$$\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_j}) =$$
¹(\Omega).

 $\det(u_{z_i\bar{z}_i}) = f > 0,$

 $\sup \Delta u \leq C$,

where C depends only on n, p, $||f||_{C^{1,1}(\Omega)}$, $\inf_{\Omega} f$,

 $u^{i\bar{j}}(\Delta u)_{i\bar{j}} \ge \Delta(\log f) \ge -C_1.$

$$u^{i\bar{j}}(\Delta u)_{i\bar{j}} \ge \Delta(\log f) \ge -C_1.$$

Set
$$w := (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} (\Delta u)^{\beta}$$
, $\alpha, \beta \ge 2$.

$$u^{i\bar{j}}(\Delta u)_{i\bar{j}} \ge \Delta(\log f) \ge -C_1.$$

Set $w:=(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}(\Delta u)^{\beta}$, $\alpha,\beta\geq 2$. After some computations we will get

$$u^{i\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{j}} \ge -C_2(\Delta u)^{\alpha-1} - C_3w^{1-2/\beta}(\Delta u)^{2\alpha/\beta} \sum_{i,j} |u^{i\bar{j}}|.$$

$$u^{ij}(\Delta u)_{i\bar{i}} \ge \Delta(\log f) \ge -C_1.$$

Set $w:=(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}(\Delta u)^{\beta}$, $\alpha,\beta\geq 2.$ After some computations we will get

$$u^{i\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{j}} \ge -C_2(\Delta u)^{\alpha-1} - C_3w^{1-2/\beta}(\Delta u)^{2\alpha/\beta}\sum_i |u^{i\bar{j}}|.$$

Fix
$$1 < q < p/(n(n-1))$$
.

$$u^{ij}(\Delta u)_{i\bar{j}} \ge \Delta(\log f) \ge -C_1.$$

Set $w:=(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}(\Delta u)^{\beta}$, $\alpha,\beta\geq 2$. After some computations we will get

$$u^{i\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{j}} \ge -C_2(\Delta u)^{\alpha-1} - C_3w^{1-2/\beta}(\Delta u)^{2\alpha/\beta} \sum_{i,j} |u^{i\bar{j}}|.$$

Fix 1 < q < p/(n(n-1)). Since $||\Delta u||_p$ is under control, it follows that $||u_{i\bar{j}}||_p$ and $||u^{i\bar{j}}||_{p/(n-1)}$ are as well.

$$u^{i\bar{j}}(\Delta u)_{i\bar{j}} \ge \Delta(\log f) \ge -C_1.$$

Set $w:=(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha}(\Delta u)^{\beta}$, $\alpha,\beta\geq 2.$ After some computations we will get

$$u^{i\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{j}} \ge -C_2(\Delta u)^{\alpha-1} - C_3w^{1-2/\beta}(\Delta u)^{2\alpha/\beta} \sum_{i,j} |u^{i\bar{j}}|.$$

Fix 1 < q < p/(n(n-1)). Since $||\Delta u||_p$ is under control, it follows that $||u_{i\bar{j}}||_p$ and $||u^{i\bar{j}}||_{p/(n-1)}$ are as well. Set

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{p}{qn}, \quad \beta = 2\left(1 + \frac{qn}{p}\right).$$

Then

$$||(u^{i\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{j}})_-||_{qn} \le C_4(1+(\sup_B w)^{1-2/\beta}),$$

where $f_{-} := -\min(f, 0)$.

Solve $\det(v_{i\bar{j}}) = ((u^{i\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{j}})_{-})^n$, v = 0 on ∂B . Then

$$\sup_{B} w \leq C_5 \sup_{B} (-v)$$

$$\leq C_6 ||\det(v_{i\bar{3}})||_{\circ}^{1/n}$$

$$\sup_B w \le C_5 \sup_B (-v)$$

$$\le C_6 ||\det(v_{i\bar{j}})||_q^{1/n}$$

by Kołodziej's estimate.

 $= C_6 ||(u^{i\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{j}})_-||_{qn}$

 $\leq C_7(1 + (\sup_B w)^{1-2/\beta})$

Solve $\det(v_{i\bar{i}}) = ((u^{i\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{i}})_-)^n$, v = 0 on ∂B . Then $\sup_{B} w \le C_5 \sup_{B} (-v)$

$$\sup_{B} w \le C_5 \sup_{B} (-v)$$

$$\le C_6 ||\det(v_{i\bar{j}})||_q^{1/n}$$

$$B \qquad \qquad B \\ \leq C_6 ||\det(v_{i\bar{j}})||_q^{1/n}$$

 $\leq C_7(1 + (\sup_B w)^{1-2/\beta})$

 $w = (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha} (\Delta u)^{\beta} < C_8$.

by Kołodziej's estimate. Therefore

$$\sup_{B} w \leq C_5 \sup_{B} (-v)$$

$$\leq C_6 ||\det(v_{i\bar{j}})||_q^{1/n}$$

$$= C_6 ||(u^{i\bar{j}}w_{i\bar{j}})_-||_{gn}$$

To a which is just in
$$n + 1$$

Then $T_{\varepsilon}u \to \Delta u$ weakly.

where

$$T-Ty=\frac{n+1}{2}(y_1-y_2)$$

$$T = T_{\varepsilon}u = \frac{n+1}{\varepsilon^2}(u_{\varepsilon} - u),$$

$$T = T_{\varepsilon} u = \frac{n+1}{\varepsilon} (u_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon})$$

$$T - T = n + 1$$

$$T-T$$
 $n-1$

$$n+1$$

 $u_{\varepsilon}(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda(B(z,\varepsilon))} \int_{B(z,\varepsilon)} u \, d\lambda.$

For u which is just in $W^{2,p}$ we consider

For u which is just in $W^{2,p}$ we consider

$$T = T$$
 $n+1$

where

$$T = T_{\varepsilon}u = \frac{n+1}{\varepsilon^2}(u_{\varepsilon} - u),$$

$$u_{arepsilon}(z) = \overline{\lambda(B(z,arepsilon))} \int_{B(z,arepsilon)} u \, dz$$

 $u^{i\bar{j}}T_{i\bar{i}} \geq nf^{-1/n}T_{\varepsilon}(f^{1/n}) \geq -C_9$

and now we can work as before with T instead of Δu .

Then $T_{\varepsilon}u \to \Delta u$ weakly. One can show that

$$u_{arepsilon}(z) = rac{1}{\lambda(B(z,arepsilon))} \int_{B(z,arepsilon)} u \, d\lambda.$$

Theorem (S. Dinew-X. Zhang-X.W. Zhang). $0 < \alpha < 1$.

For $u \in C^{1,1}$ we have

$$f \in C^{\alpha} \implies u \in C^{2,\alpha}.$$

Theorem (S. Dinew-X. Zhang-X.W. Zhang). $0 < \alpha < 1$.

For $u \in C^{1,1}$ we have

$$f \in C^{\alpha} \implies u \in C^{2,\alpha}.$$

It would useful to weaken the assumption to $\Delta u \in L^{\infty}_{loc}$.

Theorem (S. Dinew-X. Zhang-X.W. Zhang). $0 < \alpha < 1$.

For $u \in C^{1,1}$ we have

$$f \in C^{\alpha} \implies u \in C^{2,\alpha}$$
.

It would useful to weaken the assumption to $\Delta u \in L^\infty_{loc}$. For this the following version of Bedford-Taylor's interior regularity would be sufficient:

Assume v is psh and has bounded Laplacian near B. Let u be the psh solution of $\det(u_{i\bar{j}})=1,\ u=v$ on ∂B . Then $\Delta u\in L^\infty_{loc}(B)$.